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1 Introduction

1.1 Document Overview

1.1.1 Goal and Objectives
The goal of this document is to describe the recommended structure and attribute population for particular instance models created from the entities and attributes defined by the STEP AP242 “Managed Model-based 3D Engineering” Domain model and populated according to its XML Schema.

The selected instance models illustrate how to encode configuration management information that needs to be exchanged in support of key industry requirements common across the mechanical design domain. The objectives of the usage guide are to:

- Support the short-term requirements of the Aerospace & Defense and the automotive industries in the realm of configuration management.
- Prevent the emergence of “flavors”, i.e., diverging/conflicting implementations of the AP242 Domain Model XML.
- Ensure consistency with existing Recommended Practices, in particular, the joint CAx-IF / JT-IF Recommended Practices for AP242 Domain Model XML Product and Assembly Structure.

1.1.2 Scope
This document describes the recommended practices for the exchange of configuration management data. It is based on the third edition of STEP AP242, which was published in 2022. It contains the Domain Model (ISO 10303-4442:2022) and the corresponding XML schemas, which can be found at: http://standards.iso.org/iso/ts/10303/-4442/ed-3.tech/xml-schema/domain_model

Therefore, the the XML header of AP242 Domain Model XML files which shall comply to Ed.3 shall look like the following:

```xml
```

Remark: due to some delay in the publication of the standard, this URL may not be available yet. In this case, please use a local copy of the Domain Model XSD available on https://globalx.prostep.com/.

This document has not the intention to define all aspects of PLM and configuration management. Focus is to support the implementation of AP242 in software systems for some predefined use cases that are introduced in chapter 1.1. Only those configuration management aspects will be highlighted in chapter 3.30 that are relevant to match the domain and use case specific view to the corresponding technical view for the software developer. Because this document is only a recommendation, some document specific definitions of configuration management terms are used, which reflect industry practice. Also, the PLM system landscape and the lifecycle processes applied by industry may have a more detailed view to the handling of product structures for configuration management. This document, however, raises the claim to give a summary of the most common aspects.
The following AP242 configuration management aspects are in scope of this document, but have not yet been fully tested by the involved Implementor Forums:

- Explicit configuration management
- Implicit configuration management
- Effectivities of types conditional, unit/serial and date
- Configuration item
- Product concept
- Bill of Material (BOM)
- Software components are also supported as parts of kind 'software'
- Configuration calculation results and NC programs, however, only as referenced documents.

The following are out of scope for this document because they are or will be covered in other documents:

- CAx-specific Capabilities such as Kinematics [242BO-KIN], Composites, etc.
- Basic PDM Capabilities for Product Identification and Assembly Structure [242-PAS]
- Change Management [242BO-CM]
- External Element References (into Part 21 files or between Domain Model XML files)
- Revision rules for Effectivity, ProductClass, ProductConfiguration and Specification, as these are already covered by [242-PAS]

The following aspects are out of scope for this document:

- Software code management
- Numerical control (NC) code management
- Configuration management system
- Configuration baseline
- Configuration control, that is, control of changes to configuration items and related documentation
- Configuration status accounting, that is, recording and reporting of information needed to manage configuration items effectively, including the status of proposed and approved changes
- Configuration audit and verification, that is, auditing of configuration items to verify conformance to documented requirements
- Configuration management planning, including the use of standards, guidelines, best practices
- Any other aspects of configuration management that are outside of a PDM/PLM system
- The concept of product specification, that is, the capability to describe products with a large number of variants
- Specified occurrences for configurations, due to lack of use case
Assembly Structure Definition, that is, product structure and related documents with CAD models, drawings and additional descriptions, as this is already covered by [242-PAS]

Revision rules for configurations, as these are already covered by [242-PAS]

The following aspects may – depending on user demand – be included in future releases of this document:

- Feasibility of configurations, that is, distinction that some combinations of configuration options result in valid product variants, others in invalid ones
- Automatic completion of configurations, that is, indications of the dependance of configuration options on the presence of other options, which then may be added automatically
- Product breakdown, that is, distinction of types of breakdowns and breakdown elements into, for example, physical, functional, zonal and system.
- Other types of BoMs than engineering BOM (eBOM) and manufacturing BOM (mBOM).

1.1.3 Implementation Changes between AP242 Ed.1 TC and AP242 Ed.2

For a number of constructs, the implementation changed between the Ed.1 and Ed.2, i.e. ISO 10303-3001 Ed.2 and ISO 10303-4442. These changes became necessary due to deficiencies found in the original definitions of these elements, or to lay the foundation for future extensions of the data model.

The changes include structural changes such as embedding and referencing of XML elements as well as technical changes such as new element types or changed definition of attributes. Except very few of them, all these changes are upward compatible.

The list below provides an overview for the necessary implementation changes to support AP242 Ed.2 in scope of this document:

- SerialEffectivity attributes startNumber/endNumber renamed to StartId/EndId (#6648) (section 4.2.4)
- DataValidityEffectivity replaced by DatedEffectivity; StartDefinition/EndDefinition are moved from Effectivity to DatedEffectivity (#6624) (section 4.2.20)
- New attribute LotId in LotEffectivity (section 4.2.3)
- Type of PartView/ProductConfiguration.Occurrence changed from Definition-BasedOccurrence (Single and QuantifiedOccurrence) to Occurrence (embraces also SpecifiedOccurrence) (see section 4.1.4.1) (rollbacked in Ed.3)
- Embedment of Condition along Condition.Parameters (#5086 + #6289)
  Allows much more compact mapping of logical expressions (section 4.3.4.3)
- ProductDesignAssociation now embedded into ProductConfiguration (#6437)
  Attribute AssociatedConfiguration is removed (section 4.1.4.2)
- ProductConfiguration now embedded into ProductConcept (ProductClass) (#6132)
  Attribute MemberOf is removed (section 4.1.4.1)
- Specification now embedded into SpecificationCategory (#6288)
  Attribute Category is removed (section 4.3.1)
- ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity now embedded into ProductDesignAssociation (#6438)
  Attribute ResolvedConfiguration is removed (section 4.1.4.4)
1.1.4 Implementation Changes between AP242 Ed.2 and AP242 Ed.3

- Rollback of the Ed2 change “Type of PartView/ProductConfiguration.Occurrence changed from DefinitionBasedOccurrence (Single and QuantifiedOccurrence) to Occurrence (embraces also SpecifiedOccurrence)” (see section 4.1.4.1)

1.1.5 Intended Audience

This document is intended to be an implementation guide for developers of PDM and file translation application systems that support and exchange configuration management information with other systems and applications, in support of the design engineering and related downstream business processes.

1.1.6 Intended Use

This document is intended to be a manual and companion to the developer of STEP data exchange and translator software used by applications that support configuration management definitions. It provides guidelines for the consistent preprocessor instance model creation and requirement value encoding to enable meaningful information exchange between different systems and applications using the STEP AP242 Domain model, and guidelines for the consistent interpretation by a postprocessor of the STEP AP242 Domain model exchange file.

1.1.7 Document Style

The overall document proceeds in an incremental, step-by-step fashion to describe, and in parallel to illustrate the recommended instantiations of the XML elements in the STEP AP242 Domain model.

The “template” concept is used in this document. Structures and substructures are defined in one section and then reused in other sections of the documents. These templates are represented by the blue boxes in the diagrams.

Templates for common core constructs, such as product, are defined in the “Recommended Practices for AP242 Domain Model XML Product and Assembly Structure”, and reused here. References to such templates are prefixed with [242-PAS].

The instantiation diagrams are presented using a graphical notation intended to illustrate the instance model. Detailed notation is described in chapter 1.1.9.

Following each instance diagram, a table lists all the attributes of each displayed entity according to the XML schema specification of ISO 10303-4442. The table includes not only the attributes of the EXPRESS schema of the AP242 Domain Model, but also inverse attributes of all possible relations to the element in question. Attributes that are considered important for the scope of these Recommended Practices are in these tables written in black. Attributes that are written in grey are not covered by these Recommended Practices; their use is discouraged as it would depend on mutual agreements between data exchange partners.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>Id</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptionSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AssociatedItem</td>
<td>PartVersion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Below the table, all recommended attributes (written in black) are listed and recommendations are made for them.

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **Id**: The id attribute specifies the identifier of the `<Object>` entity.
- **associatedItem**: the PartVersion that identifies a part which is a valid implementation for the `<Object>`

Finally, a STEP AP242 Domain model XML exchange structure example is included. The example exchange file corresponds directly to the instance model diagram and illustrates the very same thing using a different notation, i.e., STEP AP242 Domain model XML syntax versus the graphical instance model notation.

```xml
<Object uid="obj---01">
  <Id id="Manufactual Product"/>
  <AssociatedItem uidRef="pv---02"/>
</Object>
```

### 1.1.8 Document Structure

The overall scope of requirements is partitioned into a set of major sections corresponding to the identified units of functionality. Within a major section, there may be sub-sections. These sub-sections further divide the scope into smaller components of coherent functionality (called “feature”) that interact with each other to realize the functionality of the entire unit.

There is generally a description of requirements and a corresponding instance diagram associated with each section and sub-section of this document. Each instance diagram is followed by a detailed explanation and specific recommendations for the entities used in the instantiation diagram example. The entity listing and explanation is in turn followed by the corresponding XML exchange structure example.

Within a section, diagrams corresponding to sub-sections incrementally build upon one another to finally achieve a complete instance model example that illustrates the entire scope of the unit of functionality.

### 1.1.9 Instantiation Diagrams

The diagrams are presented using a graphical notation intended to illustrate the instance model. This notation is not EXPRESS-G and does not illustrate the XML schema; rather it is a graphical illustration of a specific population of a particular instance model of the schema. This notation supports:

- Illustration of entity instances and attribute values (both mapped as XML elements)
- Illustration and identification of referenced entity instances that are either fully illustrated in the current figure, or that refer to another template (if not fully illustrated in the current figure)
- Indication of optional attributes and optional reference entity instances (dashed lines),
- Illustration and identification of groups of functionally related instances (shaded bounding box), showing how XML elements are embedded into each other (the XML elements representing the entity instances placed below are embedded into the XML element representing the entity instance placed above), and
- Identification of specific attribute values (typically string values, may also be enumerated type values or numerical values).

A legend for the diagram notation is shown below:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object1 #1-1</th>
<th>Object (instance of an EXPRESS ENTITY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After the #, an instance number is given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Att1</th>
<th>Att1: mandatory attribute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Att2</td>
<td>Att2: optional attribute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object1 #1-1</th>
<th>Att1 S[1:?]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aggregate type for the definition of the cardinality constraint:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B: Bag (non-ordered and may contain duplicates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S: Set (non-ordered and may not contain duplicates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L: List (ordered)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x : y]: lower size : upper size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>?: unconstrained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A: Array (indexed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x : y]: lower index : upper index</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>*Att1</th>
<th>Additional constraint on the object: the attribute(s) depicted with ‘*’ have to contain unique values.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(DER)Att1</td>
<td>Derived Information from another object or attribute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRING</th>
<th>Simple data types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOOLEAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type1</th>
<th>User-defined data type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EnumType1</th>
<th>Enumeration Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(consists of a limited list of possible values defined for this type)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Currently not used in this document**
Select Type
(is used if a relationship from an object may apply either to Object1 or Object2)
For a better readability, the members of a select type are displayed using the inheritance link (see below) and the mutually exclusive constraint. This has the same semantic as a select type.
Currently not used in this document

Attribute as relationship between two Objects (mandatory or optional),
The circle at the end of the line gives the direction.
Rel1: mandatory relationship
Rel2: optional relationship

Rel2: inverse attribute (i.e. in the converse direction to Rel1) with cardinality constraint
Currently not used in this document

Inheritance from a Supertype to its Subtypes
An Abstract Supertype (ABS) cannot be instantiated without one of its non-abstract Subtypes
1: Only one subtype can be instantiated at a time (the subtypes are mutually exclusive). Per default, all the subtypes may be combined (not supported by XML)
RT: an inherited attribute is retyped, for example through restriction of its type, length, value range, cardinality, mandatory instead of optional or DERIVED
Currently not used in this document
Objects shown under each other within a blue colored square are embedded into each other in XML: here Object2 #2-1 is embedded into Object1 #1-1 as its XML element Rel1.

The templates defined in this document are reused in other sections. This is the simple way to refer to a template (if the object referenced within the template is implicit, for example the object 'Classification' for the template 'Classification').

If the template is more complex and the object referenced within the template is shown explicitly, portions of the reused template are displayed within a blue frame.

Alternatively, a template may be reused through adding new XML containments to it.
1.2 Organizational Framework

These “Recommended Practices for AP242 Domain Model XML Configuration Management” is developed and supported by a number of “communities”, specifically the vendor and user communities devoted to the development and implementation of AP242 and its associated Domain Model. This section describes those communities’ responsibilities.

1.2.1 Vendor Communities

The PDM-IF Implementor Group (IG) will be responsible for the overall organization and development of this document. The PDM-IF IG will:

- Coordinate the creation of the document
- Verify the approach of the recommended practices in PDM-IF Test Rounds, and publish result summaries of testing “AP242 Domain Model Configuration Management”
- Ensure the consistency with other “AP242 Domain Model XML Recommended Practices”

1.2.2 User Communities

The PDM-IF User Group (UG) is a forum of PDM experts from the Aerospace and Defense as well as the automotive industries. The PDM-IF UG is responsible for development of the document and will:

- Support the development of the document
- Provide subject matter experts
- Provide industry requirements and ensure they are fulfilled
- Ensure the consistency with PDM standards spanning the complete product life cycle

1.3 Maintenance of this Document

This document describes the recommended practices to implement configuration management data using the AP242 Domain Model. It is based on the joint CAx-IF / JT-IF “Recommended Practices for AP242 Domain Model XML Product and Assembly Structure”. Since configuration management is an extension to the core scope that is specific to the PDM domain, it is documented in a separate document with references to the core document where needed.

It is the responsibility of the PDM-IF not only to maintain this document, but also to ensure its consistency with the core document.

AFNeT, PDES, Inc., prostep ivip Association and VDA as the hosting organizations of the related implementor forums will maintain and extend the document as long as it provides utility to the vendor community.
2 Reference to Recommended Practices

2.1 Reference to Core Document [242-PAS]
This document is an extension of the joint CAx-IF / JT-IF Recommended Practices for AP242 Domain Model Product and Assembly Structure. Definitions of templates and XML elements contained in the core document are not repeated here.

Where necessary, references to sections of the core document are given in this format:

[242-PAS] 4.6.7

This means a reference to the Recommended Practices for AP242 Domain Model Product and Assembly Structure, section 4.6.7.

This Recommended Practices for AP242 Domain Model XML Configuration Management are built on the following version of the core document:

Version 3.1; dated 2022-11-18

This document is available on the public PDM-IF web site.

2.2 Listing of Recommended Practices Version in Exchange Files
For validation purposes, STEP processors shall state which Recommended Practice document and version thereof have been used in the creation of the STEP file. This will not only indicate what information a consumer can expect to find in the file, but even more importantly where to find it in the file.

This shall be done by adding a pre-defined string to the second string element of the Documentation attribute of the Header element in the XML file (for details see section [242-PAS] 4.1.5), while the first value shall be the value defined in section 3 of [242-PAS]. The value follows a specific pattern well established in Part 21 files:

Document Type---Document Name---Document Version---Publication Date

The string corresponding to this version of this document is:

<DocumentType>CAx-IF Rec.Pracs.---AP242 Domain Model XML Configuration Management---1.1.01---2023-04-06</Documentation>

General Postprocessor Recommendation:
If a postprocessor encounters attribute values, or object instantiations different from the ones recommended in this version of the document, but is needed for the use case, an additional exchange agreement is supposed to be in place among the parties involved in the data exchange.
3 Fundamental Concepts and Assumptions

This chapter discusses fundamental concepts and assumptions for Configuration Management. Focus is on items that are in scope of this document (see chapter 1.1.2), but also some related aspects are elaborated upon to clarify the context of in-scope items.

3.1 The Big Picture

ISO 10303 (STEP) is an International Standard for the computer-interpretable representation of product information and for the exchange of product data. Its objective is to provide a neutral mechanism capable of describing products throughout their life cycle. The document in hand is a guide to some aspects of only ISO 10303-242: Managed model-based 3D engineering, also called AP242.

AP242 supports the definition of product concepts, which define products from market or customer-oriented viewpoints. As they are offered to the customers, these product concepts often define conceptual product models that are available for or delivered to the customer in different configurations or variants.

AP242 is suitable for the following use cases; see chapter 3.2 for details):

- Neutral file exchange
- Archiving and retrieval of product data
- Implementation and sharing of product databases within PLM processes.

AP242 has not the aspiration to support all aspects of Configuration Management and is not designed to be the internal data model of a Configuration Management System.

The scope of AP242 in the context of PLM in general is shown in Figure 1: AP242 Big Picture. The red boundaries and red text indicate the capabilities of AP242. The white circles represent sub-domains within general PLM.

The focus of this document is the subdiscipline of configuration identification of the physical features for typical or serialized Configuration Items (Cis) (see chapter 3.3.5).

In scope is product structure with explicit or implicit configuration. Configuration is restricted to product structure and related documents with CAD models, drawings, and additional descriptions. Software components and NC-programs are only in scope in this release of the document if they are managed as parts; management of software or NC code is out of scope.

Product breakdown is out of scope of this release of the document.
3.2 Use Cases
With respect to Configuration Management, AP242 supports the following three main use cases, which are explained in the sub-chapters, below:

- Configuration Management for supplier exchange
- Configuration Management for archiving and retrieval
- Configuration Management for internal PLM.

3.2.1 Configuration management with AP242 for supplier exchange
Main characteristics of supplier data exchange are:

- different organizations
- different systems
- asynchronous data exchange.
In this use case, the focus for AP242 is to support explicit configurations. If partners support the same configuration management methodology, also implicit configuration could be used.

3.2.2 Configuration management with AP242 for archiving

Main characteristics for long-term archiving and retrieval are:

- same organization
- different systems (along the timeline)
- different people (all “tacet” knowledge is gone; the archival package needs to be totally self-contained).

In this use case, the focus for AP242 is to support implicit and explicit configurations with additional organization specific information. Organisation specific information could be, for example:

- details of the status network
- information about users, groups, roles
- details of the file repository.

3.2.3 Configuration management with AP242 for internal PLM

Main characteristics of internal PLM for synchronous integration of several internal systems are:

- same organization
- different systems
- synchronous integration.
In this use case, the focus for AP242 is to support the daily business with all needed information about specifications, conditions, and breakdowns. Internal exchange is needed, if different systems are in use to support the product lifecycle and to synchronize information between ERP, PLM and Production Planning and Control System (PPS). The need to exchange data is often linked to lifecycle transitions.

![Figure 4: Configuration Management for internal PLM](image)

### 3.3 Configuration Management

This chapter gives a short introduction to configuration management in general and highlights relevant aspects in AP242.

#### 3.3.1 Definition

Configuration management is a systems engineering process for establishing and maintaining consistency of a product's functional and physical features with its requirements, design, and operational information throughout its life.

Configuration management is a management discipline and is closely linked with:

- Product Structure Management
- Requirements Management
- Change Management
- Release Management
- Supply Chain Management
- Archiving and retrieval.
Configuration Management has five main functions of which only the first one is in scope of this document (see chapter 1.1.2):

- **Configuration Identification**
  Identify and document the functional and physical characteristics of the collection of Configuration Items.

- **Configuration Control**
  Control changes to Configuration Items and related documentation.

- **Configuration Status Accounting**
  Record and report information needed to manage Configuration Items effectively, including the status of proposed and approved changes.

- **Configuration Audit and Verification**
  Audit Configuration Items to verify conformance to documented requirements.

- **Configuration Management Planning**
  Application of standards, guidelines, best practices

The focus of AP242 is physical and functional configuration identification.

![Figure 5: Configuration Management functions](image)

### 3.3.2 Configuration Identification

Configuration Identification describes the identification and documentation of functional and physical features of the product, such as:

- Identification and placement of Configuration Items in the product structure
- Documentation of physical and functional features
- Methodology of numbering with unique identifiers and classifications
- Definition of baselines.
3.3.3 Configuration
A configuration is a description of a product at a particular time or in a defined delivery status. The description contains all documentation relevant to manufacturing, assembly, quality control and maintenance.

Documentation may include:

- **Product Structure**
- **Documents**
  - CAD Models
  - Viewing data
  - Drawings
  - NC programs
  - Textual descriptions
  - Calculation results
- **Software Components**

![Figure 6: Configuration](image)

Configuration calculation results and NC programs are supported as referenced documents only. Software components are supported as parts of kind ‘software’.

Configurations may be defined explicitly or implicitly, that is, by conditions.

3.3.3.1 Explicit Configuration
Configuration mechanisms are used differently across various industry branches. In Aerospace, explicit representation of configurations of product concept is suitable for the management of design-as-planned manufacturing configurations, the traditional BOM inputs to a manufacturing resource planning. These explicit configurations may also be suitable for management of other activities “downstream” from the design phase, such as as-built and as-maintained configurations.

From an Automotive point of view, the manufacturable object is triggered by Date Effectivities, but not for explicit configuration. Instead, the parts, the part occurrences and the conditions of the product specification have additional date effectivities (i.e., they apply from datetime xxx to
datetime yyy). The explicit configuration is computed out of them all (for a given customer order at a given date).

The Explicit Configuration is mostly a 100% product structure (> 100% if for example serial/date ranges are used to define a ProductConfiguration). The content differs, dependent on the use case:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use Case</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data exchange</td>
<td>No effectivity information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archiving</td>
<td>No effectivity information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal PLM</td>
<td>With effectivity information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2: Configuration use cases*

Configuration effectivity in AP242 allows attachment of effectivity information to part versions or to occurrences of component parts in the context of a particular Configuration Item. This enables specification of the valid use of a part version or a part occurrence in the context of a lot, serial number range or time period of a particular product configuration. These kinds of effectivities can also be used in combination with conditional effectivities. This controls the constituent parts that are planned to be used for manufacturing end items of a particular product configuration within a dated time period or for a certain lot, a serial number range of the end items and/or certain conditionalEffectivities.

### 3.3.3.2 Implicit Configuration

It is recommended to use implicit configuration if the number of possible variations of a product concept is too large. The members of a product concept might therefore be defined implicitly by identifying the product features that characterize it or are available for it as options (so-called 150% structure). Conditions among those features may be specified to manage dependencies and define the valid product variations for a particular concept. This implicit definition of the configurations available for a certain product concept is suitable for customer option and variant specification: once all options are specified a single configuration is determined that may be represented explicitly for downstream applications. The implicit configuration is often driven by the ERP system and applied to the product structure in PLM systems for DMU purpose.

The usage of implicit configuration requires the support of the same specifications for a product at both exchange partners PLM/BoM system, or at least that the specifications are mappable to each other. If needed, the Dictionaries and SpecificationCategories also have to be mappable.

The implicit configuration will be defined in following definitions:

- Effectivities (Serial, Date, Conditional, …)
- Variants (ProductConfigurations)
- Options (Specifications, SpecificationCategories)
- Conditions (AND, OR, NOT, …)
- Dictionaries (Option Pool).
### 3.3.3.3 Feasibility

The use of feasibility is an example of how implicit configurations are defined by options and conditions.

The feasibility of configurations is out of scope of this document but included here for clarification.

The following table shows the possible variants that could be delivered to the customer and their corresponding options. Respecting given conditions, not all combinations of the options may result in valid product variants. The conditions themselves are not part of this matrix and could be defined as follows:

- If B then not C
- If A then not D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variants</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 7: Configuration Table Example*

Configuration tables are a simple way of handling feasibility rules. More complex tables may be rule based.

The list of variants may be generated out of a configuration table, but sometimes there are so many variants that they are only defined as needed and their feasibility must be checked.

### 3.3.3.4 Automatic completion

Automatic completion is another example of how implicit configurations are defined by options and conditions.

The automatic completion of configurations is out of scope of this document but included here for clarification.

Depending on the presence of certain options, some further options may have to be added automatically, for example the option ‘larger battery’ if many electrical devices are ordered by the customer.
3.3.4 Configuration Baseline

A configuration baseline is the description of a frozen product configuration at a defined date and is used for company internal or external purposes.

This document focuses on the release processes and milestone reviews within the engineering phase and the manufacturing phase, as well as the transition from engineering to manufacturing planning. AP242 in general will support the product lifecycle phases from as-planned to as-built. The phases for as-deployed and as-maintained are supported by AP232 and AP239.

Figure 8: Configuration Baselines

3.3.5 Configuration Item (CI)

A Configuration Item is an item that is identified and controlled over its entire life cycle. It can be a single part, an assembly or a software program or any combinations of those.

The following three different kinds of CIs may be distinguished for engineering and manufacturing:

- Technical Configuration Item (TCI)
- Contractual Configuration Item (CCI)
- Serialized Configuration Item (SCI).

3.3.6 Product Concept

Product concept is the understanding of the applicability of a product in order to showcase its best qualities and maximum features. Marketers spend a lot of time and research in order to target their intended audience. Marketers will look into a product concept before marketing a product towards their customers.

While the "product concept" is based upon the idea of customers who prefer products with the most quality, performance, and features, some customers prefer products that are simple and easy to use. Figure 12 shows the relationship between product concept and configuration.

3.3.7 Bill of Material (BOM)

A Bill of Material (BOM) is an annotated list of constituents of a product at a specific point in time of its life cycle.

The following table gives a rough classification of the different ways of managing BOMs and their association to possible configuration approaches. This classification is only relevant for the use case "internal PLM". The association to other use cases is industry dependent and, therefore, not included.
### Table 3: BOM Classification for Configuration Management for internal PLM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill of material</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Configuration management / Engineering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completely designed (concrete) BOM</td>
<td>100% BOM</td>
<td>• Order Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Explicit Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order neutral, configured BOM</td>
<td>150% BOM</td>
<td>• Driven by Change and Release Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Few Order Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule based or feature based variant BOM</td>
<td>150% BOM</td>
<td>• Implicit Configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Driven by CPQ (Configure Price Quote) out of ERP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• No Order Engineering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There may be many types of BOMs. eBOM and mBOM are explicitly in scope of this document.

#### 3.3.7.1 eBOM

The engineering bill of material or eBOM is a configuration of the product to show how it is designed. It is used in the product lifecycle phase of design.

#### 3.3.7.2 mBOM

The manufacturing bill of material or mBOM is a configuration of the product to show how it will be assembled. It contains all the parts and assemblies required to build a complete and shippable product. It is used in the product lifecycle phase of manufacturing. The mBOM is normally derived from the eBOM but refactored for the requirements of manufacturing. The eBOM is usually changed as follows:

- The mBOM includes additional information, such as, machines and tools that are necessary to build the product, assembly process information and packaging material.
- The mBOM could also prune the eBOM from all component parts that are assembled by suppliers.
- The mBOM may have a completely different product structure than the eBOM, since it shall reflect the assembly process and not the top-down physical structure of the eBOM.

#### 3.3.8 Configuration Management system

A Configuration Management system consists of a set of tools to identify the information belonging to a product configuration during the entire product life cycle. Data concerning Configuration Management systems is out of scope of this document.

#### 3.3.9 Effectivity

Effectivity describes the validity of data in a certain context. The validity of data can be expressed by conditions that specify, for example, time ranges for which data are applicable. Validity may also be expressed for individual parts, which are identified by serial or unit numbers.
3.3.9.1 Unit Effectivities
A unit (or serial) effectivity could be defined for a single lot or a single serial number as well as for a range or a list of them, or a combination of single value, range and list.

The following scenarios are supported:

- For a given lot or a given serial number
- From 0 to a given serial number
- From a given serial number to a higher serial number
- From a given serial number to undefined.

![Figure 9: Unit Effectivity Example](image)

3.3.9.2 Date Effectivities
A date effectivity is mainly dependent on the initial creation, change, or release management and will mostly be defined by an ERP system. The date effectivity is also used in the PLM System in eBOMs attached to engineering change orders and in mBOMs attached to the manufacturing change orders.

The following three scenarios are supported:

- From undefined to date
- From begin date to end date
- From begin date to undefined.
3.3.9.3 Conditional Effectivity
Conditional effectivity is explained in chapter 3.3.3.2, Implicit Configuration. Like the other kinds of effectivities, conditional effectivity data are exchanged ‘for information only’.

3.4 Definitions in ISO 10303-4442
These recommended practices are based on the following document:

- TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION ISO/TS 10303-4442:2022(E)
  Industrial automation systems and integration — Product data representation and exchange
  Part 4442: Domain model: Managed model based 3d engineering

This standard is a part of AP242 and often referred to as the AP242 Domain model.
The figure below provides an overview of the AP242 Domain information model capabilities and their logical groups.
Figure 11: Information requirement domains in ISO 10303-4442
3.4.1 Configuration

AP242 supports configuration management by the four main concepts that are listed on the right-hand side of Figure 12 below. They are renamed and put in relation to one another on the left-hand side.

![Figure 12: Simplified overview of the AP242 configuration and product specification Domain Model](image)

The figure is a simplified view of the descriptions in chapter 4.2.7. of the STEP AP242 Domain Model.

The configuration capability of the AP242 Domain model provides mechanisms to identify a single manufacturable product out of a large number of variants and versions. The main concepts that are used to handle this identification of a single manufacturable product are the following:

- **Product configurations** are used to identify a manufacturable product out of a possibly large number of variants of a product class by its associated specifications without having an explicit representation of the product, e.g., a customer order for a car.
- **Product design association** (ProductPartEquivalence) is used to associate an implicit representation of a manufacturable product (ProductConfiguration) with its explicit representation (PartVersion).
- **Manufacturing configuration** is used to specify the validity of occurrences of parts (PartInstance) with regard to manufacturing aspects in the context of an implicit as well as an explicit representation of a manufacturable product (ProductConfiguration, PartVersion).
- **Configurations** are used to configure part occurrences, alternative solutions, breakdown elements, and process plans in the context of the conditions (ClassConditionAssociation, ClassSpecificationAssociation) under which they are valid.
3.4.2 Assembly structure
(See chapter 4.2.3. of STEP AP242 Domain Model)

This capability allows representing relationships between objects to build up various kinds of structures. The structures are defined between part views or between part views and part occurrences.

Among the structures supported by this capability is a hierarchical assembly structure to represent the relationships between an assembly and its constituents. For representing hierarchical assembly structures two concepts are supported by this capability: the part view-based assembly structure concept and the part occurrence-based assembly structure concept.

- The part occurrence-based assembly structure concept allows building up a hierarchical assembly structure by an AssemblyDefinition representing the assembly and one or more Occurrence objects representing its constituents.
- The part view-based assembly structure concept allows building up a hierarchical assembly structure by an AssemblyDefinition object representing the assembly and one or more Part-View objects representing its constituents.
The exchange of assembly structures is already defined in the Recommended Practices for STEP AP242 Domain Model XML "Product & Assembly Structure" and is, therefore, out of scope of the document in hand.
### 3.4.3 Breakdown
(See chapter 4.2.4. of STEP AP242 Domain Model)

This capability enables the representation of parent-child structures, so-called breakdowns. A breakdown consists of breakdown elements. Different types of breakdowns and breakdown elements are distinguished, such as functional and physical. Breakdowns may be views of actual product structures with relations to only some of their constituents.

This capability also enables the representation of alternative solutions, such as final, supplier or technical solutions. These are implementations of functional and physical breakdown elements.

Finally, part occurrences, that is, occurrences of parts in certain locations, can be associated with breakdown elements by means of this capability.

#### Figure 16: Breakdown Structure

The exchange of breakdowns is not covered in this document.

### 3.4.4 Part occurrence
(See chapter 4.2.25. of STEP AP242 Domain Model)

Part occurrence provides the capability to represent occurrences of PartView objects. It includes master data about part occurrences as well as relationships between part occurrences. Part occurrences are used to identify an occurrence of a component in an assembly structure, e.g., to identify the elements of an AlternativeSolution.
3.4.5 Part view
(See chapter 4.2.26. of STEP AP242 Domain Model)
This capability provides the ability to represent basic product management information about different views on part versions as well as relationships between different part views.

3.4.6 Effectivity
(See chapter 4.2.12. of STEP AP242 Domain Model)
The Effectivity capability represents information concerning the validity of data. Implicit propagation of data specifying validity is not available. The validity of data can be expressed by effectivenesses that specify, for example, time ranges within which data may be used. Explicit dates or dates expressed by events can be used in order to represent the relevant points in time. Effectivities may also express rule-based conditions (so-called ConditionalEffectivity) used for implicit configuration. Validity may also be expressed for individual parts, which are identified by serial numbers.
The usage of effectivities in AP242 is described in detail in chapter 4.2.1.

3.4.7 Product specification
(See chapter 4.2.31. of STEP AP242 Domain Model)
The Product Specification provides the capability to describe products with a large number of variants.
Examples for aircraft products are propeller aircrafts, jet aircrafts, rocket-powered aircrafts, or engines or components of these products. Examples for automotive products are passenger cars, trucks, busses, or engines or components of these products.
Because of the large number of variants there is no unique identification for each of the variants that could be produced. The main concepts that are used to handle this large number of variants are the following:
• product classes are used to identify sets of similar products to be offered to the market
• specifications are used to describe characteristics of the products
• specification categories are used to group similar characteristics of the products
• specification conditions are used to control the usage of a part within a product and to represent conditions for product classes.
The usage of product specification is not covered in this document.
4 Configuration and Effectivity Information

This chapter will describe the templates for the usage of the AP242 Entities in the context of configuration management.

Each template will describe following content:

- The Instance Model as AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes (instantiation picture)
- AP242 Domain Model XML syntax
  - Table with supported attributes
  - Attribute recommendations
  - Preprocessor Recommendations
  - Postprocessor Recommendations

4.1 Configuration Identification

Configuration identification in AP242 is the identification of product concepts and their associated configurations, the composition of which is to be managed. If a configuration of a product concept is implemented by a certain design, i.e., a particular part version, this version can be associated with the configuration and managed using configuration effectivity.

The configuration identification supports two important concepts to describe the products that are sold by an organization to its customers:

- Product Concept Identification
  - Supports the representation of an organization's products as they are conceived or offered to the customers. A product as offered to the market can directly correspond to a manufacturable object, or it can be available to the customer in different configurations where each of these configurations defines a manufacturable object.

- Product Concept Configuration Identification
  - Supports the specification of these product configurations and their associated part designs.

4.1.1 Default Context

The default context (product concept) has to be used, if one of the involved systems does not manage the product concept.

The recommended usage of a context depends on two conditions:

- Support of the involved PLM system
- Complexities of the configuration

PLM systems are different in usage of a context for configurations. Following three features has to be supported for the Use Cases defined in chapter 3.2.

- PLM systems with a mandatory context
- Optional usage of a context
- A context is not supported by the PLM System

There are different complexities in the scenarios:
• In case of an explicit configuration with a 100% structure and only one context, we have a simple scenario with low configuration complexity and no context is needed
• In case of implicit configurations or if more than one context is used, we speak about a complex configuration scenario and a context has to be defined

Most of the PLM systems require a context. To get a most common usage of the context, independent from the involved PLM systems and independent from the complexity of the scenario, it is recommended to support the context in each processor with the feature to handle a default context.

The default context has no market association and the Id should be set to “/NULL”. This is a placeholder string where the target system has to create the context itself.

The mapping of the context is different in the PDM Systems and could be as following:
• Context as a specific item (e.g. Teamcenter, 3DExperience)
• Context as a flag at the root item of the product structure (e.g. Windchill)

The context is defined in the templates:
• ProductConceptIdentification (see chapter 4.1.2)
• ProductConceptConfigurationIdentification (see chapter 4.1.4)

**The Instance Model for the default context**

```
<ProductConcept uid="PC_1" xsi:type="bom:ProductClass">
  <Id id="/NULL"/>
...
</ProductConcept>
```

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**
If the source PLM system does not support a context, it has to be created with default values to be compliant to the recommendations.

It is not supported to use more than one context within one AP242 file.

**Postprocessor Recommendations:**
"/NULL" is a placeholder string already used for similar purposes in other places and means the target system has to create the context itself.

A warning has to be indicated, if more than one context is specified in the configuration.
The postprocessor recommendation depends on the context support of the involved PLM system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target PLM systems</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No support of a context</td>
<td>The context has to be ignored, even if it is not the default context.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context is optional</td>
<td>If the recommended default context is used, it has to be ignored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context is mandatory</td>
<td>If the recommended default context is used, it has to be ignored and the default context of the target PLM should be used.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 4: Context handling recommendations*
4.1.2 Exchange of one Explicit Configuration

In order to recognize that the content of a AP242 XML population is solely the result of one Explicit Configuration (typically 100%), the use of the ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity (see section 4.1.4.4) is not recommended.

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**

- The ExchangeContext.IdentificationContext shall be set to the uid of the ProductConfiguration.Id, saying that the whole assembly structure is the result of a ProductConfiguration.

- In case the ProductConfiguration and/or the Effectivities involve Specifications, only those Specifications and their SpecificationCategory(s) shall be exported. The fact that ExchangeContext.IdentificationContext is set to a ProductConfiguration.Id.uid tells, that the ProductClass and the SpecificationCategory(s) contain only the Specifications involved in the ProductConfiguration.

The following example shows the result assembly structure (100%) for the ProductConfiguration called ‘PC1’ that filtered the 150% BoM via two Effectivities: SerialNumber #5 and date 16.1.21. The recommended use of ProductDesignAssociation between ProductConfiguration and the top node of the Assembly is not affected by this simplified representation and shall comply to section 4.1.4.2.

This simplified representation is not recommended if the AP242 XML population contains the result of more than one Explicit Configuration, or if it contains the full 150% BoM.

**The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)**

```xml
<ExchangeContext uid="EC--0">
  ...
  <IdentificationContext uidRef="PC__600001--id"/>
</ExchangeContext>

<!-------------------------- ProductConfiguration UC1 additional information
                      *-------------------------->
<ProductConcept uid="PC__600000" xsi:type="n0:ProductClass">
  ...
  <ProductConfiguration uid="PC__600001">
```
4.1.3 Template “ProductConceptIdentification”

The products that are conceived or offered by an organization to its customers are often defined as variations or configurations of a common product model, referred to as product concept. This product concept is defined in a market context and can be seen as a logical container for all of its variations. In the AP242 Schema, product concept identification is the representation of these product concepts with their related market context. A product as offered to the market can directly correspond to a manufacturable object, or it can be available to the customer in different configurations where each of these configurations defines a manufacturable object.

*The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes*
**Figure 18: Instance Model ProductConceptIdentification**

*The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)*

```xml
<ProductConcept uid="pc--19088" xsi:type="n0:ProductClass">
  <Description>
    <CharacterString>as class description</CharacterString>
  </Description>
  <Id>
    <Identifier uid="pc-asclass--id1" id="as class" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
  </Id>
  <Name>
    <CharacterString>class name</CharacterString>
  </Name>
  <LevelType>
    <ClassString>platform</ClassString>
  </LevelType>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>

  ...  
</ProductConcept>

<Market uid="mk--1">
  <MarketSegmentType>
    <Class uidRef="cl--mk1"/>
  </MarketSegmentType>
</Market>

<Class uid="cl--mk1">
  <DefinedIn uidRef="ecs--mk"/>
  <Id id="automotive"/>
</Class>
```
4.1.3.1 ENTITY ProductClass

A product concept describes a class of similar products that an organization provides to its customers. It represents the idea of a product as identified by potential or actual customer requirements. Therefore, a product concept may exist before the parts have been defined that implement it. Depending on the kind of industry and products, a product concept might be offered to the customers in one or many different configurations. If exactly one configuration is defined, the product concept corresponds to a particular part design. If the product concept is offered in different configurations, each of these configurations is a member of the class of products defined by this product concept.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ClassifiedAsType</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProductConfiguration</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ProductConfiguration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetentionPeriod</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of RetentionPeriod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SameAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of SameAsType</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TargetMarket</td>
<td>OPTIONAL Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnalysisAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of AnalysisAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateTimeAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateTimeAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DocumentAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DocumentAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EventAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EventAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ModelPropertyAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ModelPropertyAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```xml
<ExternalClassSystem uid="ecs--mk">
    <Id>
        <Identifier uid="ecs--id1" id="customized part types" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
    </Id>
</ExternalClassSystem>
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment</th>
<th>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ProjectAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ProjectAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PropertyDefinitionAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyDefinitionAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PropertyValueAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyValueAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StateAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of StateAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StateDefinitionAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of StateDefinitionAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TimeIntervalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of TimeIntervalAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LevelType</td>
<td>OPTIONAL ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VersionId</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityMethodAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityMethodAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApprovalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ApprovalAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BreakdownVersionAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of BreakdownVersionAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrozenAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of FrozenAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProductClassRelationship</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ProductClassRelationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SecurityClassificationAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of SecurityClassificationAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpecificationAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of SpecificationAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpecificationCategoryAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of SpecificationCategoryAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: "ProductClass" Attributes

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **Id:** The id attribute specifies the identifier of the product concept. It is usually assigned by the organization that provides the product belonging to that product concept to its customers. This identifier must be unique within the given scope. Use “Identifier” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **Name:** The name attribute specifies the nomenclature or common name, by which the product concept is referred. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use “Description” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **Description:** The description attribute specifies additional information about the product concept. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use “Description” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **TargetMarket:** The market for the products belonging to a product concept is further described in the market_context attribute. The value of this attribute need not be specified.

- **VersionId:** the identification or set of identifications of a specific version of the ProductClass. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use IdentifierString type or "Identifier" template (see [242-PAS]).

- **LevelType:** the level of the ProductClass in a hierarchical structure of ProductClass objects. Although the value of this attribute need not be specified, it is recommended not to leave it unset. Use ClassString type if one of the values below is used, otherwise use “Class” template (see [242-PAS] 4.6.4). According to prostep ivip CC8 Recommended Practices, Version 1.2b, where applicable, the following values shall be used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RelationType</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'enterprise'</td>
<td>The highest level of the ProductClass instance in a file must always represent the company. This is necessary as some systems have this class as a general starting point. The id of this instance will be a unique identifier for the relevant company. This class carries no variant control information but only characteristics (e.g. Specification, SpecificationCategory etc.) of that class.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'platform'</td>
<td>This instance of the ProductClass reflects the level of a product class in a BoM system which represents a main technical product base (e.g. project, platform, engineering series etc.). In some cases, this level carries a complete BoM (&quot;Maximum BoM&quot;) for a project, platform, engineering series etc. This level is in some cases called technical documentation. This level carries only characteristics of this class level, no variant control mechanisms are attached.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This instance will be the one to which all variant control mechanisms are attached. For trucks configuration information (Specifications, Conditions etc.) may be associated on level three and four. For cars this may only be attached on level three.

Furthest pre-configured abstract ProductClass: this instance represents the furthest configured class of a product, which is not yet a real product. E.g. this could be a complete vehicle, engine, gearbox etc. which has not been evaluated against customer special choices or an abstract vehicle, engine, gearbox etc. which could become a real one after the associated BoM is evaluated. The purpose of this level of a product class instance is in any case to reflect that level of ProductClass of a BoM system which leads to the individual BoM for a single product. This ProductClass level will be particularly exchanged in the context of scenarios to exchange a single BoM.

- **ProductConfiguration**: to assign (optionally) one or multiple ProductConfigurations to define manufacturable variants of the ProductClass. Use the “ProductConfiguration” template (see 4.1.4.1).

- **ProductClassRelationship**: to relate to another ProductClass. Use the “ProductClassRelationship” template, see 4.1.4.3.

- **SpecificationAssignment**: to assign (optionally) one or multiple Specifications to the ProductClass. Use the “SpecificationAssignment” template (see 4.3.3).

- **SpecificationCategoryAssignment**: to assign (optionally) one or multiple SpecificationCategories to the ProductClass. Use the “SpecificationCategoryAssignment” template (see 4.3.3).

- **SpecificationConditionAssignment**: to assign (optionally) one or multiple Conditions to the ProductClass. Use the “SpecificationConditionAssignment” template (see 4.3.4).

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**

There might be several levels of product concepts defined in a company. If product concept is not supported, the default context has to be used as product concept. (see chapter 4.1.1)

**Postprocessor Recommendations:**

If not supported by the target system, TargetMarket shall be ignored or a warning shall be returned.

Remark: a product concept represents a conceptual idea of a class of similar products that are offered to a market. No design or manufacturing related product data can be attached to the product concept directly.

**4.1.3.2 ENTITY Market**

The Market entity is a subtype of BaseRootObject. It defines the market context in which a product concept is defined and may include information characterizing the potential customers of the products of the associated product concept. The application domain is identified by the associated application_context entity.
### Table 6: "Market" Attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ClassificationSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MarketSegmentType</td>
<td>OPTIONAL ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SameAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ProxySelect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **MarketSegmentType**: The market segment type is a label that identifies the kind of consumer preferences associated with a product concept. Use “Class” template (see [242-PAS]).

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**

There are no specific preprocessor recommendations.

**Postprocessor Recommendations:**

There are no specific postprocessor recommendations.

### 4.1.4 Template “ConfigurationItem”

This is the explicit identification of the configurations that exist for a given product concept and the representation of their composition. A Configuration Item is specified as a ProductConfiguration entity. Product configurations can be related to appropriate part versions to specify the designs that implement the product configurations through the configuration design.

**The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes**
Figure 19: Instance Model ProductConceptConfigurationIdentification

The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<ProductConcept uid="pc--19088" xsi:type="n0:ProductClass">
  ...
  <ProductConfiguration uid="pconf--19089">
    <CharacterString>as1 short description</CharacterString>
    <Id>
      <Identifier uid="pc-as1short--id1" id="as1 short" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
      <Name>
        [AP242-PAS] PartVersion
      </Name>
    </Id>
  </ProductConfiguration>
</ProductConcept>
```
4.1.4.1 ENTITY ProductConfiguration

The ProductConfiguration entity is a key concept to support explicit and implicit configuration management. It represents the identification of a particular Configuration Item, i.e., variation of a product concept. A ProductConfiguration is defined with respect to the product concept, i.e., the class of similar products of which it is a member.

The ProductConfiguration defines a manufacturable end item, or something that is conceived and expected as such. The association between a ProductConfiguration and a corresponding part design is established using a ProductDesignAssociation. The valid use of component parts for planned units of manufacturing of a particular ProductConfiguration may be specified using configuration effectivity (see 4.2.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] OF Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DefiningGeometry</td>
<td>OPTIONAL GeometricModel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DefiningSpecifications</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] OF Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurrence</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] OF DefinitionBasedOccurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProductDesignAssociation</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] OF ProductDesign Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetentionPeriod</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] OF RetentionPeriod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SameAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL S [1:n] OF ProxySelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ShapeElement</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] OF ShapeElement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VersionId</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityMethodAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityMethodAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApprovalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ApprovalAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BreakdownVersionAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of BreakdownVersionAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CertificationAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of CertificationAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ContractAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ContractAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateTimeAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateTimeAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DocumentAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DocumentAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EventAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EventAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrozenAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of FrozenAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ModelPropertyAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ModelPropertyAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProductConfigurationRelationship</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ProductConfigurationRelation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProjectAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ProjectAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PropertyDefinitionAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyDefinitionAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PropertyValueAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyValueAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RequirementAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of RequirementAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SecurityClassificationAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of SecurityClassificationAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: "ProductConfiguration" Attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DefiningSpecifications</td>
<td>in case of implicit configurations, the set of Specification objects necessary to discriminate the SpecificationAssignment within its ProductClass. Each definingSpecifications shall be associated with a ProductClass by a SpecificationAssignment with associationType not equal 'part usage'. The associated ProductClass shall be either the associated ProductClass of the ProductConfiguration or any higher level ProductClass related directly or indirectly by a ProductClassRelationship with a relationType 'hierarchy'. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use “Specification” template.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>the text or the set of texts that provide further information about the ProductConfiguration. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use &quot;Description&quot; template (see [242-PAS]).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>The id attribute specifies an identifier that distinguishes the ProductConfiguration. The identifier or set of identifiers for the ProductConfiguration. (must be unique in relation with a specific ProductConcept). Use “Identifier” template (see [242-PAS]): idContextRef shall be ProductClass.Id.uid Here the use of IdentifierString is not recommended due to the use of ProductConfiguration.Id as ExchangeContext.IdentifierContext.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>the words or set of words by which the ProductConfiguration is known. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use “Description” template (see [242-PAS])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VersionId</td>
<td>the identification or set of identifications of a particular version of the ProductConfiguration. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use IdentifierString type or &quot;Identifier&quot; template (see [242-PAS]).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProductDesignAssociation</td>
<td>to assign (optionally) one or multiple ProductDesignAssociations to define the explicit design that corresponds to this Configuration. Use the “ProductDesignAssociation” template (see 4.1.4.2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>to assign (optionally) one or multiple Effectivities to define the Configuration. Use the “EffectivityAssignment” template (see 4.2.7.3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProductConfigurationRelationship</td>
<td>to relate to another ProductConfiguration. Use the “ProductConfigurationRelationship” template, see 4.1.4.3.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preprocessor Recommendations:
There is no standard mapping for the id attribute of ProductConfiguration; however, the value should be unique in conjunction with the id attribute of the associated ProductConcept.

Postprocessor Recommendations:
There are no specific postprocessor recommendations.
Remarks:

A ProductConfiguration can be established prior to the existence of a corresponding part
design, i.e., a ProductDesignAssociation does not need to exist for a ProductConfiguration.

If the design of a ProductConfiguration is made of many distinct assemblies, more than one
ProductDesignAssociation may exist to this ProductConfiguration.

The DefiningSpecifications shall include all necessary Specifications in order to define a
manufacturable end item. Usually, this is one particular variant of the product. The same
applies to the Effectivities referenced by EffectivityAssignment: here no serial number inter-
vals, date or event intervals are expected, but one given serial number, date/event and/or
lot.

4.1.4.2 ENTITY ProductDesignAssociation

A ProductDesignAssociation is a mechanism to associate a PartVersion with its corresponding
ProductConfiguration. It specifies the explicit design that corresponds to the ProductConfigura-
tion. The ProductDesignAssociation represents the statement that, in all definition contexts, the
PartVersion is a valid way to implement the ProductConfiguration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AssociatedDesign</td>
<td>PartVersion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: "ProductDesignAssociation" Attributes

Attribute recommendations:

- **AssociatedConfiguration**: the ProductConfiguration that represents the requirements
  for which a PartVersion as solution is designated.

- **AssociatedDesign**: the PartVersion that identifies a part which is a valid implementation
  for the ProductConfiguration, i.e. meets the requirements specified by the ProductCon-
  figuration. Use “Part” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **Description**: the text or the set of texts that provide further information about the
  ProductDesignAssociation. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use “De-
  scription” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity**: to assign (optionally) one or multiple ConfiguredAs-
  semblyEffectivities to define the occurrences in the full variant product structure that ap-
  ply to this ProductConfiguration. Use the “ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity” template (see
  4.1.4.4).

Preprocessor Recommendations:

The ProductDesignAssociation should only be used for the top node of the product structure
Postprocessor Recommendations:
There are no specific postprocessor recommendations.

4.1.4.3 ENTITY ProductConfigurationRelationship
This relationship enables to relate two ProductConfigurations.

The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<ProductConfiguration uid="pconf--19089">
  ...
  <Id>
    <Identifier uid="pc-as1short--id1" id="as1 short" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
  </Id>
  ...
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  ...
  <ProductConfigurationRelationship uid="pcr--1">
    <Related uidRef="pconf--19089b"/>
    <RelationType>
      <ClassString>sequence</ClassString>
    </RelationType>
  </ProductConfigurationRelationship>
</ProductConfiguration>
<ProductConfiguration uid="pconf--19089b">
  ...
  <Id>
    <Identifier uid="pc-as1short--id1b" id="as1 short" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
  </Id>
  ...
```

Figure 20: Simplified Example for ProductDesignAssociation for the top node
**Entity ProductConfigurationRelationship attributes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Attribute type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related</td>
<td>ProductConfiguration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelationType</td>
<td>ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ModelPropertyAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ModelPropertyAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PropertyDefinitionAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyDefinitionAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PropertyValueAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyValueAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 9: "ProductConfigurationRelationship" Attributes**

**Attribute recommendations**

- **RelationType**: the meaning of the relationship. Use ClassString type if one of the values below is used, otherwise use “Class” template (see [242-PAS]). According to the ISO AP242 Specification, where applicable, the following values shall be used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RelationType</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘hierarchy’</td>
<td>the ProductConfigurationRelationship defines a relationship where the relating ProductConfiguration is on a higher level in the hierarchy of ProductConfiguration objects than the related ProductConfiguration;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘sequence’</td>
<td>The business object defines a logical sequence where the related configuration comes after the relating configuration. In case the Id is equal and VersionId of both ProductConfigurations is different, a version sequence is defined.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Related**: the other object of **ProductConfiguration** that is part of the relationship

- Other attributes than these are not covered by these Recommended Practices; their use is discouraged as it would depend on mutual agreements between data exchange partners.
4.1.4.4 ENTITY ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity
This object is an effectivity controlled association of a ProductDesignAssociation with Occurrences (and potentially also with AssemblyViewRelationships, DefinitionalPartViewUsages or PartShapeElements, not in scope of this document).

It shall be only used if the top assembly PartVersion node referenced by ProductDesignAssociation.associatedDesign contains more than one explicit configurations of the product.

In this case, it is recommended to associate the ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity to Occurrences, telling that in a full variant product structure, all (and only these) occurrences apply to the ProductConfiguration where this ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity is embedded into.

Figure 21: Template “ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity”

The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<ProductConcept uid="pc--19088" xsi:type="n0:ProductClass">
...
<ProductConfiguration uid="pconf--19089">
...
<ProductDesignAssociation uid="pda--4711">
  <AssociatedDesign uidRef="pv--000000017D374A0--id1"/>
  <ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity uid="cae--1">
    <ConfiguredElements>
      <Occurrence uidRef="pi--00000001EB04CF0--10"/>
      <Occurrence uidRef="pi--000000017D37010--11"/>
      <Occurrence uidRef="pi--00000001EAA8110--14"/>
    </ConfiguredElements>
    <EffectivityDomain uidRef="sneff-11"/>
  </ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity>
</ProductDesignAssociation>
```
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Table 10: "ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity" Attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity attributes</th>
<th>Attribute type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConfiguredElements</td>
<td>SET[1:?] of AssemblyDesignSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityDomain</td>
<td>OPTIONAL Effectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetentionPeriod</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] OF RetentionPeriod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SameAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL S [1:n] OF ProxySelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApprovalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ApprovalAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateTimeAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateTimeAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EventAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EventAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrozenAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of FrozenAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SecurityClassificationAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of SecurityClassificationAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuppliedObjectRelationship</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of SuppliedObjectRelationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TimeIntervalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of TimeIntervalAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attribute recommendations

- **ConfiguredElements**: the objects that are controlled by a ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity. Use “Occurrence” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **EffectivityDomain**: the Effectivity that determines the validity of the ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity. The value of this attribute need not be specified. If no value is provided, the referenced ConfiguredElements are *never* effective for the ProductConfiguration.

- Other attributes than these are not covered by these Recommended Practices; their use is discouraged as it would depend on mutual agreements between data exchange partners.

Preprocessor Recommendations:

- All the Occurrences referenced via ConfiguredElements shall be within the product structure defined underneath the top assembly PartVersion node referenced by the ProductDesignAssociation the ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity is embedded into.

- It is recommended to define one instance of ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity for each ProductConfiguration.

- The Effectivity referenced by EffectivityDomain shall be consistent with the one defined on the ProductConfiguration, including both
  - the Specifications referenced by ProductConfiguration (see section 4.1.4.1).
  - the Effectivity referenced by the EffectivityAssignment defined on the ProductConfiguration (see section 4.2.7.3).

- Leaving EffectivityDomain unset implies, that all the whole Occurrences within the top assembly PartVersion node referenced by the ProductDesignAssociation do apply except the ones referenced by ConfiguredElement. Since this is not supported by most PDM systems, it is not recommended.

### 4.1.5 Template “ProductClassRelationship”

A ProductClassRelationship is a relationship between two ProductClass objects. The meaning of this relationship is specified further by the attribute relationType.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related</td>
<td>ProductClass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelationType</td>
<td>ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 11: "ProductClassRelationship" Attributes**

Attribute recommendations:

- **RelationType**: the meaning of the relationship. Use ClassString type if one of the values below is used, otherwise use “Class” template (see [242-PAS] 4.6.4). According to the ISO AP242 Specification, where applicable, the following values shall be used:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RelationType</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'derivation'</td>
<td>the ProductClassRelationship defines a relationship where the related ProductClass is derived from the relating ProductClass;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'hierarchy'</td>
<td>the ProductClassRelationship defines a relationship where the relating ProductClass is on a higher level in the hierarchy of ProductClass objects than the related ProductClass;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'substitution'</td>
<td>the ProductClassRelationship defines a relationship where the related ProductClass replaces the relating ProductClass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'sequence'</td>
<td>the ProductClassRelationship defines a logical sequence where the related ProductClass comes after the relating ProductClass. In case the Id is equal and VersionId of both ProductClasses is different, a version sequence is defined.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Related**: the other object of ProductClass that is part of the relationship

The **Instance Model**: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes

![Instance Model Diagram](image)

*Figure 22: Instance Model ProductClassRelationship*

The **Instance Model**: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<ProductConcept uid="pc--19087" xsi:type="n0:ProductClass">
  <Id>
    <Identifier uid="pc-ent--id1" id="Smith.com" idRoleRef="rl--ii" id-ContextRef="o--000000178"/>
  </Id>
</ProductConcept>
```
4.1.6 General Handling of Product Configurations

It is possible to have one ProductConcept with more than one ProductConfigurations.

4.1.7 Example Mapping an Item to AP242 Context

Some systems manage the context in the Assembly-Item itself and not in a separate context object. In most cases, the item is normally the top node of the product structure or an additional node on top of the product structure, but it may be any assembly node.

Most of the Effectivities along the product structure have to refer to an EndItem. For example mandatory for SerialEffectivities or ConfiationalEffectivities and optional for DateEffectivities.

Potentially, multiple levels within the product structure can be used as UsedItem, to tell that at each level, the 100% BoM can be computed.

The following example demonstrates the mapping of a 3Dexperience item to the AP242 ProductClass and the mapping to a Teamcenter EndItem for the use case “supplier exchange” (in this example the EndItem could be another Part or the top assembly Part used as a context).

*Figure 23: Context Mapping for Supplier Exchange*

Since EndItems are not supported by many PDM Systems and usually, they are defined at one and only one level within the product structure (like a ProductClass), a dedicated mapping for EndItems is currently out of scope, so currently they are handled like ProductClasses.
4.2 Configuration Composition Management

Configuration composition management is concerned with the specification of the different product configurations that exist for a given product concept, and the association with product data that is necessary to build those configurations. This includes the identification of the actual constituents that are to be included in a planned unit of production of a product configuration.

Effectivity is a key concept to control the valid use of product data. The AP242 Schema supports the association of effectivity information to different types of product data. Three different effectivity concepts are available:

- **Configuration effectivity** (serial, lot, time interval), describing the planned use of part versions (so-called revision effectivities) or part occurrences (i.e., occurrences of parts as sub-assemblies or component parts in some higher-level assembly, so-called occurrence effectivities) in the context of a Configuration Item defined for a ProductConcept.

- A more generic effectivity (DatedEffectivity), describing the validity period of part versions or part occurrences in which the associated product data may be used independent from any additional context (e.g., date, lifecycle or organization related) that further restricts the applicability of that effectivity.

- A conditional effectivity, describing the use of part versions or part occurrences based on specification expressions.

4.2.1 Common supertype “Effectivity”

Supertype of all effectivity kinds, it allows attachment of effectivity information to

- part versions (so-called revision effectivities)
- or to occurrences (so-called occurrence effectivities)

of component parts in the context of a particular ProductConfiguration Item or ProductConcept. This enables specification of the valid use of a part version or part occurrence in the context of a lot, serial number range, time period and/or specification (or many of them) of a particular product configuration. This controls the constituent parts that are planned to be used for manufacturing end items of a particular product configuration within a dated time period, for a certain lot or serial number range of the end items or according to specification expressions.

A configured assembly effectivity is an identification of the valid use of an aspect of product data tracked by date, event, serial number, lot size or specifications (see section 4.1.4.4).

Effectivity is supertype of:

- DatedEffectivity (for Date Effectivities and Milestone Effectivities)
- LotEffectivity
- SerialEffectivity
- TimeIntervalEffectivity
- ConditionalEffectivity

Effectivities are related by:

- EffectivityAssignment
- Condition
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENTITY Effectivity</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConcernedOrganizations</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityContext</td>
<td>OPTIONAL EffectivityContext</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetentionPeriod</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] OF RetentionPeriod</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SameAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ProxySelect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VersionId</td>
<td>OPTIONAL Id</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApprovalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ApprovalAssignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConditionAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ConditionAssignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateTimeAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateTimeAssignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DocumentAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DocumentAssignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityRelationship</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityRelationship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EventAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EventAssignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrozenAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of FrozenAssignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuppliedObjectRelationship</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of SuppliedObjectRelationship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TimeIntervalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of TimeIntervalAssignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: "Effectivity" Attributes

NOTE — The assignment of effectivities is often done during the approval process, i.e., when releasing product data for the next stage of the development process, it gets effectivity information assigned to define when and in which context these product data may be used.
Attribute recommendations:

- **Id:** The id attribute specifies the identifier that distinguishes the effectivity. Use “Identifier” template (see [242-PAS]). The value of this attribute need not be specified.

- **VersionId:** the identification or set of identifications of a specific version of the Effectivity. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use IdentifierString type or “Identifier” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **EffectivityRelationship:** to relate to another Effectivity. Use the “EffectivityRelationship” template, see 4.2.8.

- **EffectivityContext:** the ProductClass for which the effectivity is valid (see “ProductConceptIdentification” template) or the ProductClass of the ProductConfiguration for which this Effectivity applies (see “ConfigurationItem” template). The value of this attribute need not be specified.

Preprocessor Recommendations:

Even if defined as a BaseRootObject, it is recommended to not instantiate the effectivity instance as standalone instance, i.e., an effectivity should always be assigned to some product data by using EffectivityAssignment. Furthermore, it is always recommended to instantiate an effectivity as one of its subtypes, unless:

- the EffectivityAssignment shall express that the assigned object/structure is configured and shall apply all the time (so-called null effectivity, semi-column or empty code rule)
- or the definition of the effectivity is based on some other effectivity using EffectivityRelationship.

DatedEffectivities of kind Date are the only Effectivities that do not require an EffectivityContext in most PDM systems.

An Identifier need not to be specified for a particular effectivity, except for shared effectivities (ie. that are referenced multiple times within a product structure). If used, the id value should be unique in conjunction with the product data the effectivity is assigned to, and if present, the context restricting the applicability of the effectivity to a certain usage.

If the source system does not support a Context of kind EffectivityContextSelect (Activity, Contract, Organization, PersonInOrganization, ProductClass, ProductConfiguration, Project), it is recommended to create an EffectivityAssignment with a Role “context” and to attach it to a PartVersion (possibly the top node of the configured product structure) representing the context (see template “EffectivityAssignment” 4.2.7.4).

Postprocessor Recommendations:

If the target system requires an EffectivityContext (like in 3DExperience), but none is provided (if optional for example like in Teamcenter for DatedEffectivities or if none is supported for example like in Aras), the postprocessor has to decide which (general) context to use.

The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<Effectivity uid="SE__600009" xsi:type="n0:Effectivity"/>
```
4.2.2 Template “DatedEffectivity”

The DatedEffectivity is a subtype of Effectivity that applies onwards from a point in time, or between two points in time which define the start and end of the DatedEffectivity. These points in time may be specified by:

- Date
- Date and time
- Event occurrence (currently recommended for the mapping of Milestone effectivities)

The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes

Figure 24: Instance Model Date Effectivity

The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<Effectivity uid="dveff-20161231" xsi:type="n0:DatedEffectivity">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  <StartDefinition>
    <DateTimeString>2016-12-31T23:11:05</DateTimeString>
  </StartDefinition>
  <EndDefinition>
    <DateTimeString>2016-12-10T12:14:23</DateTimeString>
  </EndDefinition>
</Effectivity>
```
4.2.2.1 ENTITY DatedEffectivity

Additional attributes, derived from entity effectivity, will not be repeated in this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EndDefinition</td>
<td>OPTIONAL EventOrDateSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StartDefinition</td>
<td>OPTIONAL EventOrDateSelect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 13: "DatedEffectivity" Attributes**

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **EndDefinition**: The EndDefinition specifies the date and time (or Milestone) when the effectivity of the associated product data ends. It defines the upper bound of the interval of applicability. The value of this attribute need not be specified. If a value for this attribute is not defined, the interval of applicability has no upper limit. Use “DateTime” template for DateTimeString without DateTimeAssignment (see [242-PAS]).

- **StartDefinition**: The StartDefinition specifies the Date and Time (or Milestone) when the effectivity of the associated product data begins to apply. It defines the lower bound of the interval of applicability. The value of this attribute need not be specified. If a value for this attribute is not defined, the interval of applicability has no lower limit. Use “DateTime” template for DateTimeString without DateTimeAssignment (see [242-PAS]) in case of a Date Effectivity. Use “Event” in case of a Milestone Effectivity (see chapter 4.2.2.2).

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**

The StartDefinition shall be prior to the EndDefinition.

If a PDM system does not support an empty from-date, the dummy value 1970-01-01T00:00:00 may be used instead.

**Postprocessor Recommendations:**

The from-date value 1970-01-01T00:00:00 shall be interpreted as if from-date was empty.

4.2.2.2 ENTITY Event

An Event is the fact of the existence of a state at some point in time. It is recommended to use Event to map Milestone Effectivities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ActualStartDate</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DateTime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EventType</td>
<td>ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offset</td>
<td>OPTIONAL xsd:duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PlannedStartDate</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DateTime</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ActivityAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment
---|---
DateAndPersonAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateAndPersonAssignment
DateTimeAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateTimeAssignment
EventAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EventAssignment
FrozenAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of FrozenAssignment
OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment
SuppliedObjectRelationship | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of SuppliedObjectRelationship

| Table 14: "Event" Attributes |

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **EventType:** specifies the kind of event. Use ClassString if the value is recommended within this document, otherwise use “Class” template (see [242-PAS]). Where applicable, the following values shall be used:
  - 'milestone': the event is a milestone.
- **Id:** The id attribute specifies the identifier that distinguishes the event. Use “Identifier” template (see [242-PAS]). Although the value of this attribute need not be specified, it is recommended to set it in case of a Milestone.
- **ActualStartDate:** the actualStartDate specifies the date when the Event actually started. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use “DateTime” template for DateTimeString without DateTimeAssignment (see [242-PAS]).
- **PlannedStartDate:** the DateTimeString when the Event is or was planned to start. The value of the attribute need not be specified. The value of this attribute need not be specified. top assembly PartVersion node referenced by the ProductDesignAssociation. Use “DateTime” template for DateTimeString without DateTimeAssignment (see [242-PAS]).
- **Offset:** specifies the amount of time before or after the defined Event that shall be used to calculate the actual point in time. The value of this attribute need not be specified. The possibilities to define values for duration are explained in xsd:duration. A complex example for a negative duration:
  -P3Y6M4DT12H30M17.12345678901234567890S

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**
There are no specific Preprocessor recommendations

**Postprocessor Recommendations:**
If not supported by the target system, Milestone Effectivities shall be ignored, or a warning shall be returned.
The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes

The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<Effectivity uid="dveff-M1" xsi:type="n0:DatedEffectivity">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  <EndDefinition>
    <Event uidRef="ev--2"/>
  </EndDefinition>
  <StartDefinition>
    <Event uidRef="ev--1"/>
  </StartDefinition>
</Effectivity>
```

**Figure 25: Instance Model Milestone Effectivity**
4.2.3 Template “LotEffectivity”

The LotEffectivity is a subtype of Effectivity with an additional attribute LotSize. It defines the domain of applicability as a given batch of end items.

*The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes*

![Instance Model LotEffectivity Diagram]

*Figure 26: Instance Model LotEffectivity*

*The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)*

```xml
<Effectivity uid="loteff-x-1" xsi:type="n0:LotEffectivity">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  <LotId>
    <IdentifierString>123456</IdentifierString>
  </LotId>
  <LotSize uid="nv--l2" xsi:type="n0:NumericalValue">
    <Definition>
      <PropertyDefinitionString>lot size property</PropertyDefinitionString>
    </Definition>
    <Unit uidRef="i--1231"/>
    <ValueComponent>35</ValueComponent>
  </LotSize>
</Effectivity>
```
4.2.3.1 ENTITY LotEffectivity

Additional attributes, derived from entity effectivity will not be repeated in this table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LotId</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LotSize</td>
<td>ValueWithUnit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15: "LotEffectivity" Attributes

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **LotId**: the identification of the batch of items, which is different from Effectivity identification. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use “Identifier” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **LotSize**: The LotSize attribute specifies the size of the batch of end items for the manufacturing of which the effectivity applies. The lotsize definition (LotSize.Definition.PropertyDefinitionString) should be set to “lot size property”. A range of lots is not supported. Use NumericalValue template (see [242-PAS]).

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**

It is not recommended to use other attributes of the super object to add effectivity information like StartDefinition or EndDefinition together with LotEffectivity, to avoid rule out each other.

Detailed informations about the lot itself are not supported. The lot will only be represented by the defined Id.

A range of lots is not supported in AP242. If a range is supported by the exporting system, the preprocessor has to multiply the LotEffectivity corresponding to the range.

**Postprocessor Recommendations:**

If not supported by the target system, LotEffectivities shall be ignored or a warning shall be returned.

4.2.4 Template “SerialEffectivity”

A SerialEffectivity is a type of Effectivity for which the domain of applicability is defined as a possibly open-ended interval of serial numbers.

*The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes*
Figure 27: Instance Model SerialEffectivity

The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML Syntax)

```xml
<Effectivity uid="sneff-33-2" xsi:type="n0:SerialEffectivity">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  <EndId>
    <IdentifierString>3</IdentifierString>
  </EndId>
  <StartId>
    <IdentifierString>3</IdentifierString>
  </StartId>
</Effectivity>
```

4.2.4.1 ENTITY SerialEffectivity

Additional attributes, derived from entity effectivity will not be repeated in this table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EndId</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StartId</td>
<td>IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16: “SerialEffectivity” Attributes

Attribute recommendations:

- **EndId**: The EndId attribute identifies the last valid serial number for which the effectivity applies (may be equal to the StartId). The value of this attribute need not be specified. If a value for this attribute is not defined, the interval of applicability has no serial number defined as upper bound, i.e., the effectivity is expected to apply to all serial numbers greater than the Startld. Use IdentifierString type or “Identifier” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **StartId**: The StartId attribute identifies the first valid serial number for which the effectivity applies. Use IdentifierString type or “Identifier” template (see [242-PAS]).
Postprocessor Recommendations:
There are no specific postprocessor recommendations.

4.2.5 Template “TimeIntervalEffectivity”
A TimeIntervalEffectivity is a type of Effectivity for which the domain of applicability is defined as duration.

The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes

The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<Effectivity uid="tieff-20160301P3D" xsi:type="n0:TimeIntervalEffectivity">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  <StartDefinition>
    <DateTimeString>2016-12-31T00:00:00</DateTimeString>
  </StartDefinition>
  <EffectivityPeriod>P3D</EffectivityPeriod>
</Effectivity>
```

4.2.5.1 ENTITY TimeIntervalEffectivity
Additional attributes, derived from entity effectivity, will not be repeated in this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityPeriod</td>
<td>xsd:duration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17: “TimeIntervalEffectivity” Attributes
Attribute recommendations:

- **EffectivityPeriod**: The EffectivityPeriod attribute specifies the time interval that defines the domain of validity. The possibilities to define values for duration are explained in xsd:duration. A complex example for a negative duration:
  -P3Y6M4DT12H30M17.12345678901234567890S

- **EndDefinition**: Use a negative duration together with EndDefinition (in this case, StartDefinition shall not be set). Use “DateTime” template for DateTimeString without DateTimeAssignment (see [242-PAS]).

- **StartDefinition**: Use a positive duration together with StartDefinition (in this case, EndDefinition shall not be set). Use “DateTime” template for DateTimeString without DateTimeAssignment (see [242-PAS]).

Preprocessor Recommendations:

The usage of TimeIntervalEffectivity is not recommended since not supported by most of the PDM systems.

Postprocessor Recommendations:

TimeIntervalEffectivities shall be ignored, or a warning shall be returned.

4.2.6 Template “ConditionalEffectivity”

The ConditionalEffectivity is a specialization of Effectivity for which the domain of applicability is defined by an explicit condition.

The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes

```
<Effectivity uid="condeff-Spec001" xsi:type="n0:ConditionalEffectivity">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  <Condition uidRef="spece--19133"/>
</Effectivity>
```

Figure 29: Instance Model ConditionalEffectivity

The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)
4.2.6.1 ENTITY ConditionalEffectivity

Additional attributes, derived from entity effectivity, will not be repeated in this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>Condition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 18: "ConditionalEffectivity" Attributes*

**Attribute recommendations:**
- **Condition**: Reference to a condition. See “SpecificationConditionAssignment” template in chapter 4.3.4.

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**
The use of OneOfCondition is not recommended, since not supported by most of the PDM systems.

It is not recommended to use other attributes of the supertype object to add effectivity information like StartDefinition or EndDefinition together with ConditionalEffectivity, to avoid rule out each other.

It should not be used to parametrize a product configuration by EffectivityAssignment. In this case, rather use ProductConfiguration.definingSpecifications.

**Postprocessor Recommendations:**
There are no specific postprocessor recommendations.

4.2.6.2 ENTITY ConditionalConfiguration

A ConditionalConfiguration adds usage information to a ConditionalEffectivity so that it may be evaluated in combination with further ConditionalEffectivities.

**The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes**

*Figure 30: Instance Model ConditionalConfiguration*
**The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)**

```xml
<Effectivity uid="condconf-Spec001" xsi:type="n0:ConditionalConfiguration">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  <Condition uidRef="spece--19133"/>
  <ConfigurationType>
    <ClassString>usage</ClassString>
  </ConfigurationType>
  <InheritanceType>
    <ClassString>local</ClassString>
  </InheritanceType>
</Effectivity>
```

Additional attributes, derived from entity ConditionalEffectivity, will not be repeated in this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ConfigurationType</td>
<td>ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InheritanceType</td>
<td>ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SecurityClassificationAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of SecurityClassificationAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 19: “ConditionalConfiguration” Attributes**

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **configurationType**: the valid usage of a ConditionalConfiguration object that is applied to the business object as configuredElement. Use ClassString if the value is recommended within this document, otherwise use "Class" template (see [242-PAS]). According to the ISO AP242 Specification, where applicable, the following values shall be used:
  - 'design': the object referenced as configuredElement has to be designed and verified before it can actually be used in a given context. This context is specified by the SpecificationConditionAssignment and SpecificationAssignment objects referenced as the resolvedConfiguration. This type of ConditionalConfiguration is applicable for AlternativeSolution or BreakdownElement objects
  - 'usage': the object referenced as the configuredElement is controlled by a ConditionalConfiguration. The SpecificationConditionAssignment and SpecificationAssignment objects specify the usage cases and are referenced as the resolvedConfiguration. This type of ConditionalConfiguration is applicable for AlternativeSolution, Occurrence or PhysicalBreakdown objects
- **Examples:**
  - The presence of an optional third rear axle of a truck is controlled by specifications on the level of PhysicalBreakdown.
  - A 'sunroof' has to be provided, i.e., designed for a class of vehicles that has an optional 'sunroof' as part of its specification.
• **inheritanceType**: specifies whether or not an inheritance scheme for the configuration information in a hierarchical structure is applied to the business object referenced as the configuredElement. The levels within such a hierarchy are defined through BreakdownElementRealization objects or the attribute baseElement of AlternativeSolution. Use ClassString if the value is recommended within this document, otherwise use “Class” template (see [242-PAS]). According to the ISO AP242 Specification, where applicable, the following values shall be used:

  • 'exception': No inheritance scheme is applicable and all required configuration information must be attached locally at the business object. The value indicates that the configuration information may be inconsistent to the structural levels above it or that it is, on purpose, contradictory to it. Such a condition implies that an inheritance scheme shall not continue beyond this point in the product structure tree.

  • 'inherited': A scheme for inheritance of configuration information applies. The complete configuration information shall be collected from the different levels in the structure by evaluation of results. The results shall be evaluated using the logical AND to combine configuration information starting at the referenced configuredElement (i.e. along the lower levels of the structure) and using the logical OR to combine alternatives (i.e. for different nodes on the same level of the product structure, involving the same Part). In addition, this evaluation shall consider related effectivity information. 'inherited' only applies for objects for which the same value of ConditionalConfigurationConfigurationException is defined. Nevertheless, any inherited configuration information of a higher level shall be consistent, i.e., it shall not repeat the inherited configuration information (this is already assumed if local configuration information is specified), and the inherited configuration information shall be included in the locally defined one.

Example:

  - level 1: navigation system
  - level 2: none (inherits 'navigation system')
  - level 2: ‘touch screen’ (inherits ‘navigation system’ and combined via ‘AND’ with ‘touch screen’).

It is a common understanding that the effectivity defined at level 1 have to be fulfilled before the effectivity defined at level 2 get evaluated. In other words: the upper level wins.

• **Examples**:

  - A situation where the inheritanceType 'exception' is applicable is a technical solution released for one particular customer without support in higher structure levels.

  - The following figure shows how inheritance is applied along the tree of a alternative solutions.
Picture 16 Inheritance along a tree of alternative solutions

The complete configuration information of a Occurrence can be obtained by adding any such information to AlternativeSolution objects which are linked through BreakdownElementRealization objects and baseElement attributes respectively. Whenever more than one higher level instance is present, the current information available is branched in as many branches as instances are present. For example, the total configuration information for the Occurrence given in the figure above could be expressed as follows: (C0.0 AND C1.0 AND C2.0) OR (C0.0 AND C1.1 AND C2.1) OR (C0.0 AND C1.1 AND C2.2).

- 'local': No inheritance scheme is applicable and all required configuration information must be attached locally at the business object. Nevertheless, any potentially inherited configuration information of a higher level shall be consistent, i.e., it shall be included in the locally defined configuration information.

Example:
- level 1: navigation system
- level 2: navigation system
- level 3: navigation system AND touch screen

An Issue TCSC410303-838 has beed defined in Bugzilla to enable the optional use of ConfigurationType and InheritanceType in any Effectivity and not only in ConditionalConfiguration, as well as to define ‘usage’ and ‘inherited’ as the implicit default values for these two attributes if they are not set in Effectivity.

Preprocessor Recommendations:
The same Preprocessor Recommendations than Effectivity are valid (see “Effectivity template” 4.2.1).

Postprocessor Recommendations:
The behavior of the sender PDM system regarding inheritance of effectivities may not be supported by the receiving PDM system:

- If the receiving system supports inherited effectivities and the InheritanceType is set to ‘local’, it shall be checked if the effectivities may be imported (possibly redundantly) on each level of the product structure or if all redundancies shall be removed first.
- If the receiving system does not support configuration on multiple levels of the product structure:
  - If the exchanged data contains effectivities on multiple levels:
    - If the InheritanceType is set to ‘inherited’: for those product structure nodes where the effectivity is expected, the inherited effectivities shall be
retrieved ‘bottom up’ and all combined together with the local effectivity with ‘AND’

- If the InheritanceType is set to ‘local’, only those effectivities defined on the product structure nodes where the effectivity is expected, shall be mapped

In both cases, no effectivity information shall get lost, otherwise an error shall be returned.

- If the exchanged data contains effectivities on one level, but the receiving system does not support an Effectivity on the given PartVersion or PartOccurrence, an error shall be returned.

4.2.7 Template “EffectivityAssignment”

An EffectivityAssignment is an object that associates effectivity with the product or activity data whose effectivity is controlled by the associated Effectivity.

It is recommended to use EffectivityAssignments for following Entities:

- PartVersion (so-called revision effectivities)
- NextAssemblyOccurrenceUsage (so-called occurrence effectivities)
- ProductConfiguration (to define the configuration)

![Diagram](image)

Figure 31: Simplified Example for supported EffectivityAssignments

Rules:

- In case of serial/timeinterval/date range effectivities, the PartVersion or NextAssemblyOccurrenceUsage is valid for the configuration if the effectivity assigned to the configuration (single value of range) is within the given range.

- In case of ConditionalEffectivities, the boolean expression shall be evaluated for all of:
  - the serial/timeinterval/date/lot effectivities assigned to the Configuration
  - the Specifications assigned to the Configuration via its attribute definingSpecifications

- Special cases:
4.2.7.1 EffectivityAssignment for PartVersion

If the effectivity of a part is always the same for all usages of the part, Effectivities may be assigned to the PartVersions of the part structure definition, to define the validity for assembly structure links.

*The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes*

![Diagram of EffectivityAssignment for PartVersion]

*Figure 32: Instance Model EffectivityAssignment for PartVersions*

*The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)*

```xml
<Part uid="p--0000000017D374A0">
  ...
  <Versions>
    <PartVersion uid="pv--000000017D374A0--id1">
      ...
      <EffectivityAssignment uid="ea--19215v">
        <AssignedEffectivity uidRef="condeff-Spec001"/>
        <EffectivityIndication>true</EffectivityIndication>
        <Role>
          <ClassString>actual</ClassString>
        </Role>
      </EffectivityAssignment>
      ...
    </PartVersion>
  </Versions>
</Part>
```
4.2.7.2 EffectivityAssignment for Assembly Links

If the effectivity of a part may be different, depending on each usage of the part, it is recommended to assign Effectivities from the Entity NextAssemblyOccurrenceUsage of the part structure definition, to define the validity for assembly structure links.

*The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes*

**Figure 33: Instance Model EffectivityAssignment for assembly links**

*The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)*

```xml
<Part uid="p--000000017D36260">
  <Id>...
  <Versions>
    <PartVersion uid="pv--000000017D36260--id8">
    <Views>
      <PartView xsi:type="n0:AssemblyDefinition" uid="pvv--000000017D36260--id8">
        <ViewOccurrenceRelationship xsi:type="n0:NextAssemblyOccurrenceUsage">
          <Related uidRef="pi--00000001EA37D20--20"/>
          <RelationType>
            <ClassString>next assembly occurrence</ClassString>
          </RelationType>
          <EffectivityAssignment uid="ea--19218">
            <AssignedEffectivity uidRef="dveff-20161231"/>
            <EffectivityIndication>true</EffectivityIndication>
            <Role>
              <ClassString>actual</ClassString>
            </Role>
            <!-- Placement -->
            <CartesianTransformation uid="cto--00000001EA37D20--20">
```
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4.2.7.3 EffectivityAssignment for Configurations

It is recommended to assign effectivities to the configurations to define the lot/serial/date validity of the links dependent on the selected configuration. If more than one lot/serial/date apply, they shall be either applied through several EffectivityAssignments (implicitly combined with ‘AND’) or into an AndCondition.

The specifications that shall apply to the configuration shall be mapped in DefiningSpecifications, alls combined implicitly with AND and again combined implicitly with AND with the EffectivityAssignment(s).

An Issue TCSC410303-839 has been defined in Bugzilla to avoid the use of EffectivityAssignment and add Serial/Lot/Dated/TimeIntervalEffectivities to the definition of a ProductConfiguration.

The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes

![Instance Model Diagram](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

*Figure 34: Instance Model EffectivityAssignment for configurations*
The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<ProductConcept uid="pc--19088" xmlns="n0:ProductClass">
...
<ProductConfiguration uid="pconf--19089">
  <Description>
    <CharacterString>as1 short description</CharacterString>
  </Description>
  <Id>
    <Identifier uid="pc-as1short--id1" id="as1 short" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
  </Id>
  <MemberOf uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <Name>
    <CharacterString>as1 short name</CharacterString>
  </Name>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  <EffectivityAssignment uid="ea--19225">
    <AssignedEffectivity uidRef="sneff-11"/>
    <EffectivityIndication>true</EffectivityIndication>
    <Role>
      <ClassString>configuration</ClassString>
    </Role>
  </EffectivityAssignment>
  <EffectivityAssignment uid="ea--19225-2">
    <AssignedEffectivity uidRef="sneff-11-2"/>
    <EffectivityIndication>true</EffectivityIndication>
    <Role>
      <ClassString>configuration</ClassString>
    </Role>
  </EffectivityAssignment>
</ProductConfiguration>
...
</ProductConcept>
```

4.2.7.4 ENTITY EffectivityAssignment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AssignedEffectivity</td>
<td>Effectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityIndication</td>
<td>BOOLEAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>OPTIONAL Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetentionPeriod</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of RetentionPeriod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ActivityMethodAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityMethodAssignment
ApprovalAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ApprovalAssignment
AssignmentObjectRelationship | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of AssignmentObjectRelationship
ConditionAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ConditionAssignment
DateAndPersonAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateAndPersonAssignment
DateTimeAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateTimeAssignment
DocumentAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DocumentAssignment
EffectivityAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityAssignment
EventAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EventAssignment
FrozenAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of FrozenAssignment
ModelPropertyAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ModelPropertyAssignment
OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment
PropertyDefinitionAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyDefinitionAssignment
PropertyValueAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyValueAssignment
TimeIntervalAssignment | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of TimeIntervalAssignment

Table 20: "EffectivityAssignment" Attributes

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **AssignedEffectivity**: the instance of Effectivity that is assigned. Use “Effectivity” template in chapters 4.2.20 to 4.2.6.
- **Description**: the text or the set of texts that provide further information about the EffectivityAssignment. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use "Description" template (see [242-PAS]).
- **EffectivityIndication**: the indication whether the assignedEffectivity defines a period of effectivity (value 'TRUE') or a period of ineffectivity (value 'FALSE') for the assignedTo objects. In the first case, the use of assignedTo objects is or was valid during the considered period. In the second case, the use of assignedTo objects is or was not valid during the considered period. The use of 'TRUE' is recommended.
**Role**: the meaning of the assignment. Use ClassString if the value is recommended within this document, otherwise use "Class" template (see [242-PAS]). According to the ISO AP242 Specification, where applicable, the following values shall be used:

- 'actual': The actual period during which the assignedEffectivity lasted: EffectivityAssignments or PartVersions (see chapter 4.2.7.1) and Assembly Links (see chapter 4.2.7.20)
- 'configuration': The effectivity (mostly one single serial number, date or a list of specifications) used to define a product variant: EffectivityAssignments for ProductConfiguration (see chapter 4.2.7.3)
- 'planned': The period associated with the assignedEffectivity defines a planned period of time during which the assignedTo objects are or were supposed to be effective;
- 'required': The AssignedTo objects shall be kept effective for this period.
- 'context': This value means that the AssignedTo object represent the context of the AssignedEffectivity (for systems not supporting Product Context elements). The AssignedTo object shall be a PartVersion.

**Preprocessor Recommendations**:

- If more than one configuration is used within the product concept, the configurations have to be assigned with different effectivities to define the filters for the configuration
- It is recommended to assign at most:
  - One LotEffectivity xor
    - One SerialEffectivity with StartNumber=EndNumber and/or
    - One DatedEffectivity with StartDefinition=EndDefinition
  - And/or one or many Specifications (via the attribute definingSpecifications) to a ProductConfiguration, since this is the way most of the PDM systems support ProductConfigurations
- Some PDM systems support the definition of serial/date ranges for ProductConfigurations: doing so, a >100% product structure gets selected (for overview purpose).
- If a PDM system (like VPM V4) interpretes a PartVersion or PartOccurrence without any effectivity as never valid, a DatedEffectivity having StartDefinition='1970-01-01T00:00:00' and no EndDefinition shall be mapped during export, together with EffectivityIndication=FALSE.
- It is not recommended to reuse an Effectivity for several PartVersions, for several Assembly Links or for several ProductConfigurations
- If the source system does not support EffectivityAssignment.Role, it should be set to 'actual'.
- Usually, the BOM consists either of EffectivityAssignment for Occurrences xor EffectivityAssignment for PartVersions

**Postprocessor Recommendations**:

- If a so-called null effectivity (see section 4.2.1), or no effectivities are assigned to the assembly structure, these assembly structure links have to be considered as always applying.
• If only one configuration is defined with a null effectivity or no effectivity is assigned to the configuration, all links with all effectivities within the data structure have to be considered as applying.

• If the receiving system does not support the EffectivityAssignment for PartVersions, it has to be ignored or a warning shall be returned.

• If the receiving system does not support the EffectivityAssignment for Occurrences, it has to be ignored or a warning shall be returned.

• It is not recommended to map EffectivityAssignment for PartVersions as EffectivityAssignment for Occurrences or vice versa.

• If the receiving system does not support effectivityIndication=FALSE, it shall negate the effectivity as if it came with effectivityIndication=TRUE and was embedded into a NonequalsCondition effectivity.

• If the receiving system (like VPM V4) interpretes a PartVersion or PartOccurrence without any effectivity as never valid, DatedEffectivities having StartDefinition='1970-01-01T00:00:00' and no EndDefinition together with EffectivityIndication=FALSE shall be interpreted as an empty effectivity.

• If the receiving system does not support EffectivityAssignment.Role, it should be ignored or a warning shall be returned.

• Since not recommended, reuse of an Effectivity for several PartVersions, for several Assembly Links or for several ProductConfigurations, has to be mapped to a distinct Effectivity in the target system.

• If multiple EffectivityAssignments apply to an object, they are implicitly combined with ‘AND’.

4.2.8 Template “EffectivityRelationship”
This relationship enables to relate two Effectivities.

The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<Effectivity uid="loteff-x-2" xsi:type="n0:LotEffectivity">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  <EffectivityRelationship uid="effr--1">
    <Related uidRef="loteff-x-2b"/>
    <RelationType>
      <ClassString>sequence</ClassString>
    </RelationType>
  </EffectivityRelationship>
...
</Effectivity>

<Effectivity uid="loteff-x-2b" xsi:type="n0:LotEffectivity">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <VersionId id="A.2"/>
...
</Effectivity>
```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity EffectivityRelationship attributes</th>
<th>Attribute type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related</td>
<td>Effectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelationType</td>
<td>ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DocumentAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DocumentAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 21: "EffectivityRelationship" Attributes

Attribute recommendations

- **RelationType**: the meaning of the relationship. Use ClassString type if one of the values below is used, otherwise use "Class" template (see [242-PAS]). According to the ISO AP242 Specification, where applicable, the following values shall be used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RelationType</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'constraint'</td>
<td>The time period between the start and end definition of the related Effectivity shall be within the time period of the relating Effectivity;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'inheritance'</td>
<td>The related Effectivity shall not have neither 'start' nor 'end' definitions specified, but inherits the effectivity bounds from the relating Effectivity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additionally, the following value is recommended:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RelationType</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'sequence'</td>
<td>The business object defines a logical sequence where the related effectivity comes after the relating effectivity. In case the Id is equal and VersionId of both Effectivities is different, a version sequence is defined.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Related**: the other object of Effectivity that is part of the relationship

- Other attributes than these are not covered by these Recommended Practices; their use is discouraged as it would depend on mutual agreements between data exchange partners.
### 4.2.9 General Handling of Effectivities

There are different possibilities to assign effectivity information to the product. This chapter will give an overview of the possibilities with the example to define the validity of an assembly structure link. The diagrams are not compliant to the instantiation diagrams defined in chapter 1.1.9 and are strongly reduced to the minimum information needed to show the dependencies between the units.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simplified Diagrams</th>
<th>Recommended interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Part #1" /> <img src="image2" alt="Part Relationship #1" /> <img src="image3" alt="Part #2" /></td>
<td>The assembly structure link or PartVersion is always valid. See special handling of PDM systems that assume the contrary. There is no effectivity assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Part #1" /> <img src="image2" alt="Part Relationship #1" /> <img src="image3" alt="Effectivity #1" /> <img src="image4" alt="Part #2" /></td>
<td>The assembly structure link or PartVersion is valid if the effectivity #1 is valid. The effectivity could be one of the introduced effectivities except for the ConditionalEffectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Part #1" /> <img src="image2" alt="Part Relationship #1" /> <img src="image3" alt="Effectivity #1" /> <img src="image4" alt="Effectivity #2" /> <img src="image5" alt="Part #2" /></td>
<td>The assembly structure link or PartVersion is valid if the effectivity #1 or effectivity #2 is valid. If more than one effectivity is assigned, it has to be handled as an implicit <strong>OR</strong> operation between all of them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Part #1" /> <img src="image2" alt="Part Relationship #1" /> <img src="image3" alt="Effectivity #1" /> <img src="image4" alt="Effectivity #2" /> <img src="image5" alt="Part #2" /></td>
<td>It is not recommended to use EffectivityAssignment and EffectivityRelationship together for assigning the additional effectivity information of Effectivity #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Effectivities</td>
<td>Combined Effectivities with ConditionalEffectivities are supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 **Product Specification**

4.3.1 **Template “Specification”**

A Specification shall exist only within the context of a ProductConceptIdentification (via SpecificationAssignment) to define its ‘availability’ within one (or several) ProductClass. Additionaly, they are assigned to ProductConfigurations in which they influence if the Effectivities on PartVersion/Occurrence are valid or not for this ProductConfiguration.

The specifications define the characteristics of the product and discriminate one product from other members of the same ProductClass.

A specification belongs to a SpecificationCategory that completes the semantics of the specification.

![Figure 35: Specifications to define configurations](image)

![Figure 36: Specifications defined as available for configuration given ProductClass](image)
The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes

Figure 37: Instance Model Specification
The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```
<ProductConcept uid="pc--19088" xsi:type="n0:ProductClass">
  <Description>
    <CharacterString>as class description</CharacterString>
  </Description>
  <Id>
    <Identifier uid="pc-asclass--id1" id="as class" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
  </Id>
  <Name>
    <CharacterString>as class name</CharacterString>
  </Name>
  <LevelType>
    <ClassString>platform</ClassString>
  </LevelType>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  ...
  <SpecificationAssignment uid="csa--19126">
    <AssignedSpecification uidRef="spec--19191"/>
    <AssociationType>
      <ClassString>availability</ClassString>
    </AssociationType>
  </SpecificationAssignment>
  <SpecificationAssignment uid="csa--19127">
    <AssignedSpecification uidRef="spec--19192"/>
    <AssociationType>
      <ClassString>availability</ClassString>
    </AssociationType>
  </SpecificationAssignment>
  <SpecificationCategoryAssignment uid="cca--19124">
    <AssignedCategory uidRef="specc--19123"/>
    <Mandatory>true</Mandatory>
  </SpecificationCategoryAssignment>
</ProductConcept>

<SpecificationCategory uid="specc--19123">
  <Description>
    <CharacterString>car body description</CharacterString>
  </Description>
  <Id>
    <Identifier uid="specc--carbody--id1" id="car body" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
  </Id>
  <ImplicitExclusiveCondition>true</ImplicitExclusiveCondition>
  <Specification uid="spec--19191">
    <Description>
      <CharacterString>Spec001 description</CharacterString>
    </Description>
    <Id>
      <Identifier uid="proj--INM8C--id1" id="Spec001" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
    </Id>
    <Name>
      <CharacterString>Spec001 name</CharacterString>
    </Name>
    <Package>false</Package>
    <VersionId id="A.1"/>
  </Specification>
```

```xml
<Specification uid="spec--19192">
  <Description>
    <CharacterString>Spec002 description</CharacterString>
  </Description>
  <Id>
    <Identifier uid="proj--INM9C--id1" id="Spec002" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
  </Id>
  <Name>
    <CharacterString>Spec002 name</CharacterString>
  </Name>
  <Package>false</Package>
  <VersionId id="A.1"/>
</Specification>
</SpecificationCategory>

### 4.3.1.1 ENTITY Specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Package</td>
<td>BOOLEAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetentionPeriod</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of RetentionPeriod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VersionId</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApprovalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ApprovalAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateTimeAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateTimeAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DocumentAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DocumentAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EventAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EventAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrozenAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of FrozenAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 22: "Specification" Attributes

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **Description:** the text by which the specification is described. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use "Description" template (see [242-PAS]).
- **Id:** the identifier that distinguishes the specification. Use IdentifierString, since the context is given by the SpecificationCategory.
- **Name:** the text by which the specification is known. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use "Description" template (see [242-PAS]).
- **Package:** specifies whether this specification represents a package of specification objects or not. Such a specification combines those specification objects that shall be offered to the market as a set. In the case where package is 'true', there shall be exactly one SpecificationInclusion per ProductClass considered, that refers to this Specification by its attribute ifCondition. The Specification objects that are members of the package, shall be specified in the attribute includedSpecification of the SpecificationInclusion.
- **VersionId:** the identification or set of identifications of a particular version of the Specification. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use IdentifierString type or "Identifier" template (see [242-PAS]).

An issue TCSC410303-1306 has been created in Bugzilla to introduce the entity SpecificationRelationship in order to relate two Specifications (like EffectivityRelationship for example).

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**

Since SpecificationInclusion is not yet in scope of this document, at the moment it is recommended to set Package to 'false' and to mention all specifications in the effectivities (no specification will be implicitly included).
Postprocessor Recommendations:

Ignore at the moment the value of Package and any related SpecificationInclusion.

4.3.1.2 ENTITY SpecificationCategory

A SpecificationCategory is a definition of a set of specification objects serving the same purpose (for example all engines that may be alternatively chosen (one and only one) radio specifications that may be alternatively chosen (zero or one), or all comfort specifications that may be ordered (zero to many).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ImplicitExclusiveCondition</td>
<td>BOOLEAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetentionPeriod</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of RetentionPeriod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specification</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpecificationCategoryHierarchy</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of SpecificationCategoryHierarchy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApprovalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ApprovalAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateTimeAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateTimeAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DocumentAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DocumentAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EventAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EventAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrozenAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of FrozenAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssign-ment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of OrganizationOr-PersonInOrganizationAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 23: "SpecificationCategory" Attributes

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **Description:** the text by which the SpecificationCategory is described. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use “Description” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **Id:** the identifier that distinguishes the SpecificationCategory. Use “Identifier” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **ImplicitExclusiveCondition:** specifies whether the specification objects within the SpecificationCategory are mutually exclusive for the production of one particular product. A value of ‘true’ indicates that the referenced objects are mutually exclusive for the production of the particular product.

- **Specification:** embeds the Specifications belonging to this category.

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**

If the source system does not support ImplicitExclusiveCondition, it should be set to ‘false’.

**Postprocessor Recommendations:**

If the target system does not support ImplicitExclusiveCondition, it should be ignored, or a warning shall be returned.

### 4.3.2 Template “SpecificationAssignment”

A SpecificationAssignment exists only within the context of one ProductConcept to define the meaning of a specification within a ProductClass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AssignedSpecification</td>
<td>Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AssociationType</td>
<td>ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetentionPeriod</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of RetentionPeriod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityMethodAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityMethodAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attribute</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApprovalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ApprovalAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AssignmentObjectRelationship</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of AssignmentObjectRelationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConditionAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ConditionAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateTimeAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateTimeAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DocumentAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DocumentAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EffectivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EventAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EventAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrozenAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of FrozenAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of InformationUsageRightAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ModelPropertyAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ModelPropertyAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssign-</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of OrganizationOrPersonInOrganizationAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PropertyDefinitionAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyDefinitionAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PropertyValueAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyValueAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TimeIntervalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of TimeIntervalAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 24: "SpecificationAssignment" Attributes**

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **AssignedSpecification:** the Specification that is associated with the ProductClass (see Template “Specification”).
- **AssociationType:** the kind of availability of a particular specification in a ProductClass. Use ClassString if the value is recommended within this document, otherwise use “Class” template (see [242-PAS]). According to the ISO AP242 Specification, where applicable, the following values shall be used:
- **'availability':** The specification is a potential characteristic of any product belonging to a high level ProductClass. It is not specified if this is an option or a standard. This builds the option pool as defined in chapter 4.4.
  
  EXAMPLE: 'front wheel drive' or 'four-wheel drive' are available for a product class 'Voyager'.

- **'identification':** The specification is a characteristic that enables to distinguish the associated ProductClass from other ProductClass objects. This is a kind of 'non replaceable standard'. This value is not applicable for a top level node in a hierarchy of ProductClass objects. This identification is part of the identification of all sub classes of this ProductClass;
  
  EXAMPLE: The car body type 'limousine' is identifying product class 'S40'.

- **'non replaceable standard':** The specification is a characteristic of all products belonging to the ProductClass;
  
  EXAMPLE: 'South East Asia climate zone' is a 'non-replaceable standard' for a car that is produced for use in that particular geographic area.

- **'option':** The specification is a characteristic of a product if explicitly chosen. The Specification replaces another Specification of the same SpecificationCategory if the replaced specification is associated with the ProductClass as 'replaceable standard';
  
  EXAMPLE: 'sunroof' or 'radio with CD' are common options proposed to the customer.

- **'part usage':** The specification is a characteristic for the usage of the components of an AlternativeSolution, the usage of an Occurrence, or for the application of a ProcessPlan or a ProcessOperationOccurrence in the products of the associated ProductClass;

- **'replaceable standard':** The specification is a default characteristic of the products belonging to the ProductClass as long as no other Specification of the same SpecificationCategory is chosen.

- **Description:** the text by which the SpecificationAssignment is described. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use "Description" template (see [242-PAS]).

### Preprocessor Recommendations:

Until further use cases are in scope of this document, use SpecificationAssignment only with AssociationType='availability'.

### Postprocessor Recommendations:

Ignore at the moment any SpecificationAssociations not having AssociationType= ‘availability’.

### 4.3.3 Template “SpecificationCategoryAssignment”

A SpecificationCategoryAssignment exists only within the context of one ProductConcept to define the meaning of a SpecificationCategory within a ProductClass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AssignedCategory</td>
<td>Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attribute</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClassiﬁedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classiﬁcation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>BOOLEAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetentionPeriod</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of RetentionPeriod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityMethodAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityMethodAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApprovalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ApprovalAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AssignmentObjectRelationship</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of AssignmentObjectRelationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConditionAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ConditionAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateTimeAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateTimeAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DocumentAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DocumentAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EventAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of EventAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrozenAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of FrozenAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ModelPropertyAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ModelPropertyAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| OrganizationOrPersonInOrganiza-
| tionAssignment                  | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of OrganizationOrPersonInOrgan-
|     | izationAssignment                      |
| PropertyDeﬁnitionAssignment     | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyDeﬁnitionAssignment  |
| PropertyValueAssignment          | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of PropertyValueAssignment       |
| TimeIntervalAssignment           | OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of TimeIntervalAssignment        |

**Table 25: "SpecificationCategoryAssignment" Attributes**

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **AssignedCategory**: the SpecificationCategory that is associated with the ProductClass (see Template “Specification”).
- **Description**: the text by which the SpecificationAssignment is described. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use “Description” template (see [242-PAS]).
• **Mandatory**: specifies whether the Specification objects referring to the associated SpecificationCategory have to be used or may be used (optional) for products within the referenced ProductClass. A value of 'true' indicates that the usage is mandatory.

• Example: the SpecificationCategory 'radio' may be associated 'optional' to the ProductClass of a car; the SpecificationCategory 'engine' is an example for a 'mandatory' association.

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**

If the source system does not support Mandatory, it should be set to 'false'.

Consistency between the SpecificationCategoryAssignments and the SpecificationAssignments: the SpecificationCategory and its Specifications should be associated to the same ProductClass(es).

If the source system allows to associate a SpecificationCategory to more than one ProductClass, then multiple SpecificationCategoryAssignments may use the same SpecificationCategory.

**Postprocessor Recommendations:**

If the target system does not support Mandatory, it should be ignored, or a warning shall be returned.

If the target system does not support the reuse of the same SpecificationCategory in multiple ProductClasses, then they shall be duplicated in each ProductClass.

**4.3.4 Template “SpecificationConditionAssignment”**

A SpecificationConditionAssignment exists only within the context of one ProductConcept.

It is used to restrict the scope of ConditionalEffectivities to a given ProductClass.

If the scope shall apply to many ProductClasses, any higher level ProductClass related directly or indirectly by a ProductClassRelationship with a relationType ‘hierarchy’ shall used as scope.

Supported (and recommended) conditions are:

• AndCondition
• OrCondition
• NotCondition
• EqualsCondition
  The usage of these conditions is not recommended:
• OneOfCondition
• NotEqualsCondition
4.3.4.1 Example for an AND Condition
The following diagram will show an example how to add an AND-Condition for two effectivities or specifications.

![Diagram showing an AND Condition for specifications](image)

**Figure 38: Example for an AND Condition for specifications**

**The Instance Model: AP242 Domain Model XML entities and attributes**

![Instance model diagram](image)

**Figure 39: Instance Model SpecificationConditionAssignment**
The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<ProductConcept uid="pc--19088" xsi:type="n0:ProductClass">
  ...
  <SpecificationConditionAssignment uid="cda--19134">
    <AssignedCondition uid="spece--19133" xsi:type="n0:EqualsCondition">
      <ConditionType>
        <ClassString>equals</ClassString>
      </ConditionType>
      <Parameters>
        <Specification uidRef="spec--19191"/>
      </Parameters>
    </AssignedCondition>
    <ConditionType>
      <ClassString>part usage</ClassString>
    </ConditionType>
  </SpecificationConditionAssignment>

  <SpecificationConditionAssignment uid="cda--19135">
    <AssignedCondition uid="spece--19134" xsi:type="n0:EqualsCondition">
      <ConditionType>
        <ClassString>equals</ClassString>
      </ConditionType>
      <Parameters>
        <Specification uidRef="spec--19192"/>
      </Parameters>
    </AssignedCondition>
    <ConditionType>
      <ClassString>part usage</ClassString>
    </ConditionType>
  </SpecificationConditionAssignment>

  <Effectivity uid="condeff-Spec001" xsi:type="n0:ConditionalEffectivity">
    <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
    <Condition uidRef="spece--19133"/>
  </Effectivity>

  <Effectivity uid="condeff-Spec002" xsi:type="n0:ConditionalEffectivity">
    <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
    <Condition uidRef="spece--19134"/>
  </Effectivity>

  <ProductConcept xsi:type="n0:ProductClass" uid="PC__26">
    <Id>
      ...
    </Id>
  </ProductConcept>
</ProductConcept>
```

More complex example to map the following condition:

(Shimano AND (NOT Recourbe))

OR (Selle_en_mousse AND Bequille AND (NOT Magura) AND (NOT Recourbe))

OR (Droit AND (NOT Magura))

```xml
<Effectivity xsi:type="n0:ConditionalConfiguration" uid="Eff__87">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="PC__26"/>
  <Condition uidRef="AC__28"/>
  <ConfigurationType>
    <ClassString>usage</ClassString>
  </ConfigurationType>
  <InheritanceType>
    <ClassString>local</ClassString>
  </InheritanceType>
  <Effectivity>
    <ProductConcept xsi:type="n0:ProductClass" uid="PC__26"/>
    <Id>
      ...
    </Id>
  </Effectivity>
</Effectivity>
```
<Identifier uid="I__25" id="Ville" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
  </Id>
  <Name>
    <CharacterString>Ville</CharacterString>
  </Name>
<SpecificationConditionAssignment uid="SCA__27">
  <AssignedCondition uid="AC__28" xsi:type="n0:OrCondition">
    <ConditionType>
      <ClassString>or</ClassString>
    </ConditionType>
    <Parameters>
      <Condition uid="AC__30" xsi:type="n0:AndCondition">
        <ConditionType>
          <ClassString>and</ClassString>
        </ConditionType>
        <Parameters>
          <Condition uid="AC__38" xsi:type="n0:EqualsCondition">
            <ConditionType>
              <ClassString>equals</ClassString>
            </ConditionType>
            <Parameters>
              <Specification uidRef="SP__34"/>
            </Parameters>
          </Condition>
        </Parameters>
      </Condition>
      <Condition uid="AC__40" xsi:type="n0:NotCondition">
        <ConditionType>
          <ClassString>not</ClassString>
        </ConditionType>
        <Parameters>
          <Condition uid="AC__48" xsi:type="n0:EqualsCondition">
            <ConditionType>
              <ClassString>equals</ClassString>
            </ConditionType>
            <Parameters>
              <Specification uidRef="SP__44"/>
            </Parameters>
          </Condition>
        </Parameters>
      </Condition>
    </Parameters>
  </AssignedCondition>
  <Condition uid="AC__40" xsi:type="n0:NotCondition">
    <ConditionType>
      <ClassString>not</ClassString>
    </ConditionType>
    <Parameters>
      <Condition uid="AC__48" xsi:type="n0:EqualsCondition">
        <ConditionType>
          <ClassString>equals</ClassString>
        </ConditionType>
        <Parameters>
          <Specification uidRef="SP__44"/>
        </Parameters>
      </Condition>
    </Parameters>
  </Condition>
</SpecificationConditionAssignment>
<ConditionType>
    <ClassString>equals</ClassString>
</ConditionType>
<Parameters>
    <Specification uidRef="SP__59"/>
</Parameters>
</Condition>
</Parameters>
</Condition>
<Condition uid="AC__64" xsi:type="n0:AndCondition">
    <ConditionType>
        <ClassString>and</ClassString>
    </ConditionType>
    <Parameters>
        <Condition uid="AC__72" xsi:type="n0:EqualsCondition">
            <ConditionType>
                <ClassString>equals</ClassString>
            </ConditionType>
            <Parameters>
                <Specification uidRef="SP__68"/>
            </Parameters>
        </Condition>
        <Condition uid="AC__77" xsi:type="n0:EqualsCondition">
            <ConditionType>
                <ClassString>equals</ClassString>
            </ConditionType>
            <Parameters>
                <Specification uidRef="SP__74"/>
            </Parameters>
        </Condition>
        <Condition uid="AC__79" xsi:type="n0:NotCondition">
            <ConditionType>
                <ClassString>not</ClassString>
            </ConditionType>
            <Parameters>
                <Condition uid="AC__81" xsi:type="n0:EqualsCondition">
                    <ConditionType>
                        <ClassString>equals</ClassString>
                    </ConditionType>
                    <Parameters>
                        <Specification uidRef="SP__44"/>
                    </Parameters>
                </Condition>
            </Parameters>
        </Condition>
    </Parameters>
</Condition>
</Parameters>
</AssignedCondition>
<ConditionType>
    <ClassString>part usage</ClassString>
</ConditionType>
</SpecificationConditionAssignment>
</ProductConcept>
<SpecificationCategory uid="SC__32">
    <Description>
        <CharacterString>Freinage description</CharacterString>
    </Description>
</SpecificationCategory>
<Identifier uid="I__31" id="Freinage" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
</Id>
ImplicitExclusiveCondition>true</ImplicitExclusiveCondition>
<Specification uid="SP__59">
<Id>
<Identifier uid="I__58" id="Magura" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
</Id>
<Name>
<CharacterString>Magura</CharacterString>
</Name>
<Package>false</Package>
</Specification>
</SpecificationCategory>
<SpecificationCategory uid="SC__42">
<Description>
<CharacterString>Cintre description</CharacterString>
</Description>
<Id>
<Identifier uid="I__41" id="Cintre" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
</Id>
ImplicitExclusiveCondition>true</ImplicitExclusiveCondition>
<Specification uid="SP__44">
<Id>
<Identifier uid="I__43" id="Recourbe" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
</Id>
<Name>
<CharacterString>Recourbe</CharacterString>
</Name>
<Package>false</Package>
</Specification>
</SpecificationCategory>
<SpecificationCategory uid="SC__66">
<Description>
<CharacterString>Confort description</CharacterString>
</Description>
<Id>
<Identifier uid="I__51" id="Droit" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
</Id>
<Name>
<CharacterString>Droit</CharacterString>
</Name>
<Package>false</Package>
</Specification>
</SpecificationCategory>
<SpecificationCategory uid="SC__66">
<Description>
<CharacterString>Confort description</CharacterString>
</Description>
<Id>
<Identifier uid="I__65" id="Confort" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
</Id>
</ImplicitExclusiveCondition>
</Specification uid="SP__68">
  <Id>
    <Identifier uid="I__67" id="Selle en mousse" idRoleRef="rl--ii" idContextRef="o--000000178"/>
  </Id>
  <Name>
    <CharacterString>Selle en mousse</CharacterString>
  </Name>
  <Package>false</Package>
</Specification>
</SpecificationCategory>

4.3.4.2 ENTITY SpecificationConditionAssignment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AssignedCondition</td>
<td>Condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConditionType</td>
<td>ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetentionPeriod</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of RetentionPeriod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityMethodAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityMethodAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApprovalAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ApprovalAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AssignmentObjectRelationship</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of AssignmentObjectRelationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConditionAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ConditionAssignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of DateAndPersonAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 26: “SpecificationConditionAssignment” Attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AssignedCondition</strong></td>
<td>Specifies the Condition (see chapter 4.3.4.3) that is assigned to the ProductClass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ConditionType</strong></td>
<td>Specifies the meaning of the association. Use ClassString if the value is recommended within this document, otherwise use “Class” template (see [242-PAS]). According to the ISO AP242 Specification, where applicable, the following values shall be used:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o ‘design case’</td>
<td>The Condition specifies a condition when a given object has to be designed and verified. This value of the conditionType is for information only and shall not be interpreted when querying design cases or usage cases. For such a query, the value of the attribute configurationType of ConditionalConfiguration shall be evaluated. This value may be used to precise when a given BreakdownElement has to be studied by the design department so that it provides solutions appropriate for the case specified by the attributes assignedCondition and assignedTo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o ‘identification’</td>
<td>The Condition specifies a condition that enables to distinguish the assigned ProductClass from other ProductClass objects. This value is not applicable for a top-level node in a hierarchy of ProductClass objects. This identification is part of the identification of all sub classes of this ProductClass;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
o ‘part usage’: The Condition specifies a condition for the usage of the components of an AlternativeSolution, the usage of an Occurrence or for the application of a ProcessPlan or a ProcessOperationOccurrence in the products of the assignedTo ProductClass. In this case, the SpecificationConditionAssignment shall be referenced by at least one ConditionalConfiguration object;

o ‘validity’: The Condition specifies a condition that is used to verify a SpecificationAssignment for the assignedTo ProductClass. That means that the Condition evaluates to ‘true’ if the set of Specification objects is valid; otherwise, it evaluates to ‘false’ with the meaning that the specified object is invalid for the ProductClass. The assignedCondition is valid for all products belonging to the assignedTo ProductClass if conditionType is ‘identification’ or ‘validity’.

- **Description**: the text by which the SpecificationConditionAssignment is described. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use “Description” template (see [242-PAS]).

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**

- Until further use cases are in scope of this document, use SpecificationConditionAssignment only with ConditionType='validity'.
- If the Context is set it should be the same for all the SpecificationConditionAssignment and the ConditionalConfiguration

**Postprocessor Recommendations:**

- Ignore at the moment any SpecificationConditionAssociations not having ConditionType= ‘part usage’.

### 4.3.4.3 ENTITY Condition

A condition is a definition of the precedent that must be fulfilled before a statement or relationship becomes valid.

Following subtypes of condition are supported: EqualsCondition, OneOfCondition (not recommended), OrCondition, AndCondition, NotCondition, NotEqualsCondition (not recommended)

The parameters against which the condition is to be evaluated are identified by ConditionParameter. The target or consequence of a condition is represented by ConditionAssignment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute Name</th>
<th>Attribute Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClassifiedAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConditionType</td>
<td>ClassSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OPTIONAL DescriptorSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Id</td>
<td>OPTIONAL IdentifierSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>SET[1:?] OF ParameterSelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RetentionPeriod</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of RetentionPeriod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SameAs</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ProxySelect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActivityAssignment</td>
<td>OPTIONAL SET[1:?] of ActivityAssignment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 27: "Condition" Attributes

**Attribute recommendations:**

- **ConditionType:** the meaning of the condition. When using the subtypes of Condition, the value of ConditionType shall comply to the name of the subtype, i.e. ‘equals’, ‘and’, ‘or’, ‘not’. 'one of' and 'not equals' are not recommended.

- **Description:** the text by which the Condition is described. The value of this attribute need not be specified. Use “Description” template (see [242-PAS]).

- **Parameters:** specifies the set of specifications, SerialEffectivities, DatedEffectivities, LotEffectivities or embedded conditions that represent the parameters against which the condition is to be evaluated.

**Comments:**
Conditions have to be embedded either in SpecificationConditionAssignment.AssignedCondition or in Condition.Parameters

Combined Effectivities with ConditionalEffectivities are supported. Remark: if no Specification is involved in the Condition, even if the effectivities have no context, the Condition has to be defined within a SpecificationConditionAssignment (again within a ProductClass) => in such a case, a ProductClass with Id='/NULL' has to be created.

Example of combined effectivities within a condition:

Spec001 AND #3-3

```xml
<ProductConcept uid="pc--19088" xsi:type="n0:ProductClass">
  ...
  <SpecificationConditionAssignment uid="cda--19136">
    <AssignedCondition uid="spece--19136" xsi:type="n0:AndCondition">
      <ConditionType>
        <ClassString>and</ClassString>
      </ConditionType>
      <Parameters>
        <Specification uidRef="spec--19192"/>
        <Effectivity uidRef="sneff-33-2"/>
      </Parameters>
    </AssignedCondition>
    <ConditionType>
      <ClassString>part usage</ClassString>
    </ConditionType>
  </SpecificationConditionAssignment>
  ...
</ProductConcept>
```

Preprocessor Recommendations:

Although not defined as an abstract supertype, it is recommended to instantiate only the subtypes of Condition.

The usage in ‘Parameters’ of further objects defined in ParameterSelect (other than Specification, SerialEffectivity, DatedEffectivity, LotEffectivity and the subtypes of Condition) is not recommended. Especially the usage of ConditionalEffectivity is not recommended.

The usage of EqualConditions is recommended only for the top-level Condition referenced directly by a ConditionalEffectivity.Condition, but not for ‘Parameters’.

EqualConditions and NotConditions should have only one value in ‘Parameters’.

NotConditions and AndConditions should have at least two values in ‘Parameters’.

Postprocessor Recommendations:

Instances of Condition that are not one of its subtypes shall be ignored or a warning shall be returned.

Further objects defined in ParameterSelect (other than Specification, SerialEffectivity, DatedEffectivity, LotEffectivity and the subtypes of Condition) in ‘Parameters’ shall be ignored, or a warning shall be returned.

4.4 Usage of Option Pool (Dictionary)

An option pool defines all options with the possible values that could be used to configure a product within a product context.
The configuration options used in the effectivities along a Product structure need to have a context (i.e., a ProductClass in which they are available). This ProductClass is called Option Pool or Dictionary.

Some PDM systems support only a global dictionary (applies to all ProductClasses), others only local dictionaries (apply to one single ProductClass), others both.

The complete option pool itself must not be part of the AP242 file containing the configured product structure but must be part of a contract between the exchange partners. The contract should also contain a rule to match the relation between the context and the option set.

For the use case “archiving,” the option pool should also be defined in the AP242 file containing the configured product structure.

For the use case “internal PLM,” the context should be common within all involved systems. Therefore, the Id attribute can be used as foreign key to get all information for the context as well as option pool.

*Common Recommendations:* 
Create contract with the partner to define the supported option pool
Send options inside of each AP242 File together with the context

*Preprocessor Recommendations:* 
Reduce the used options to the options that are part of the contract for the data exchange.
For the use case “Internal PLM” the **Id** attribute of the ProductClass could be used to match the relation between the context and the option set.
For the use case “supplier exchange,” the **Name** attribute has to be used to match the relation to the option pool.
For the use case “archiving,” the option pool itself should be part of the AP242 file.
A global dictionary shall be mapped to a ProductClass.Id ‘global dictionary’.

*Postprocessor Recommendations:* 
If the target system does not support local dictionaries, an appropriate logic has to be implemented like:

- all incoming options are imported without context,
- prefix the option names with the name of the incoming dictionary
- ...

If the target system does not support a global dictionary, an appropriate logic has to be implemented like:

Duplicate all incoming options in each involved local dictionary
5 Usecases for the exchange of configured product structures

5.1 Export of effectivity based single product configuration as explicit assembly structure without effectivities

This usecase applies when the data receiver has no PDM functionality to filter the data applying to a given ProductConfiguration.

**Preprocessor Recommendations:**

The sender performs the filtering on his side and sends only the result. The ProductConfiguration definition is also sent, so the receiver can handle many of them.

**Postprocessor Recommendations:**

There are several ways for the receiver to import the ProductConfiguration definition:

- Create a Filter object and attach it to the top-level part node of the exchanged explicit assembly (if possible in his system)
- Create a dummy part node and store the ProductConfiguration definition:
  - Part.Id/VersionId = automatically generated
  - Name = Name of ProductConfiguration
  - Description = textual representation of the effectivities defining the ProductConfiguration

and attach the top-level part node of the exchanged explicit assembly under it.

In all cases, all assembly nodes of the exchanged assembly structure have to be created each time. No sharing across many product configurations is possible, since the assembly doesn’t support variance.

The single parts may be shared across many product configurations as long as all versions of the part are exchangeable (no revision effectivities) and the receiver shall retrieve always the newest one.
5.2 Export of effectivity based single product configuration as explicit assembly structure with effectivities

Like the previous section, this usecase applies when the data receiver has no PDM functionality to filter the data applying to a given ProductConfiguration.

The difference is, that for information, the effectivities defined along the product structure in the sender PDM system are also sent.

Figure 41: Example for overall process overview for Export of effectivity based single product configuration as explicit assembly structure with effectivities

Preprocessor Recommendations: see previous section

Postprocessor Recommendations: see previous section

5.3 Export of specification based single product configuration as explicit assembly structure without effectivities

Not specified yet.

5.4 Export of dictionaries

Not specified yet.
6 Managing nested file structure

The recommendations how to split large product structure in a so-called nested structure are defined in the Core Document [242-PAS]. Regarding Configuration Management information, the following additional recommendations are made:

- ProductConfigurations, their AssignedEffectivities and ProductDesignAssociations as well as Specifications and SpecificationCategories shall be stored in the XML File where the ProductClass (as their definition scope) is defined, rather than in the file where the PartVersion referenced by ProductDesignAssociation via AssociatedDesign is defined. Doing so, the Part is independent from which ProductConfigurations are defined on it.

![Diagram](image1)

*Figure 42: Example for Nested Structure with ProductConfigurations making no use of Specifications*

![Diagram](image2)

*Figure 43: Example for Nested Structure with ProductConfigurations making only use of Specifications*

![Diagram](image3)

*Figure 44: Example for Nested Structure with ProductConfigurations making use of Effectivities and Specifications*
- EffectivityAssignments/Conditions and Effectivities on PartVersion/NextAssemblyOccurrenceUsage/NextAssemblyViewUsage and all their attributes shall be defined in the superordinate assembly node file, rather than in the subordinate component file. Doing so, the component file is independent from where and how often it is built into product structures.

Figure 45: Example for Nested Structure with Effectivities (having no context) making no use of Specifications nor Conditions

Figure 46: Example for Nested Structure with Effectivities (having no context) with Conditions but making no use of Specifications

Figure 47: Example for Nested Structure with Effectivities (having a context) making no use of Specifications
In all cases, the ProductClass(es), Specification(s) and SpecificationCategory(ies) referenced:

- as EffectivityContext of the Effectivities (defined on the PartVersion/NextAssemblyOccurrenceUsages/NextAssemblyViewUsage of the part described by the nested file)
- as SpecificationAssignment / SpecificationCategoryAssignment / SpecificationConditionAssignment involved in the above Effectivities
- as ProductClassRelationship.Related (in case the nested file describes a ProductClass having subordinated ProductClasses, and not a Part)

shall be mapped as a reference by:

1. mapping a minimum set of entities and attributes (subset of those mapped in the referenced XML file or in the monolithic mapping):
   - ProductClass.id with idRoleRef and idContextRef,
   - ProductClass.VersionId (if relevant for the data exchange),
   - Specification.id with idRoleRef and idContextRef,
• Specification.VersionId (if relevant for the data exchange),
• Specification.Category (since mandatory)
• Specification.Package (!? since mandatory)
• SpecificationCategory.id with idRoleRef and idContextRef,
• SpecificationCategory.Description ('/NULL' since mandatory)
• SpecificationCategory.ImplicitExclusiveCondition (!? since mandatory)

2. mapping the reference to the ProductClass XML file as DocumentAssignment between the ProductClass and a DigitalFile (no need to define DocumentAssignments on the referenced Specifications/SpecificationCategories)

3. mapping a dedicated Classification ‘specified reference’ on the ProductClass(es), Specification(s) and SpecificationCategory(ies)

The only exception is display in Figure 46: if no Effectivities have a Context nor use Specifications, the Conditions (which have to be defined within a ProductClass) may by mapped to a locally defined dummy ProductClass (having Id='/NULL').

If the nested files contain the product structure filtered for one ProductConfiguration, in all nested files (the one containing the ProductClass/ProductConfiguration definition and those containing the part/assembly nodes):

• according to section 4.1.2, ExchangeContext.IdentificationContext shall reference ProductConfiguration.Id

![Figure 50: Example for Nested Structure of the product structure filtered for one ProductConfiguration](image)

and in those nested files containing the part/assembly nodes, ProductConfiguration shall be mapped as reference by:

• mapping a minimum set of entities and attributes (subset of those mapped in the referenced XML file or in the monolithic mapping):
  o ProductConfiguration.id with idRoleRef and idContextRef,
  o ProductConfiguration.VersionId (if relevant for the data exchange)
• mapping a dedicated Classification ‘specified reference’ on the ProductConfiguration
The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<ExchangeContext uid="ID_987">
  <DefaultLanguage>en-US</DefaultLanguage>
  <DefaultUnit uidRef="ID_x20fbf17c1c0"/>
  <Description>
    <CharacterString>AP242 BO Model XML Assembly Structure exchange</CharacterString>
  </Description>
  <IdentificationContext uidRef="ID_982"/>
</ExchangeContext>

...<Classification uid="nested_pv">
  <Class>
    <ClassString>specified reference</ClassString>
  </Class>
</Classification>

...<FormatProperty uid="nested_ff">
  <CharacterCode>
    <ClassString>UTF-8</ClassString>
  </CharacterCode>
  <DataFormat>
    <ClassString>ISO 10303-242 Domain Model XML</ClassString>
  </DataFormat>
</FormatProperty>

...<ProductConcept uid="ID_969" xsi:type="bom:ProductClass">
  <ClassifiedAs>
    <Classification uidRef="nested_pv"/>
  </ClassifiedAs>
  <Id>
    <Identifier uid="ID_964" id="Configuration test" idRoleRef="ID_962" idContextRef="ID_963"/>
  </Id>
</ProductConcept>
```
<ProductConfiguration uid="ID_986">
    <ClassifiedAs>
        <Classification uidRef="nested_pv"/>
    </ClassifiedAs>
    <Id>
        <Identifier uid="ID_982" id="Conf03" idRoleRef="ID_962" idContextRef="ID_964"/>
    </Id>
    <VersionId>
        <Identifier uid="ID_985" id="A.1" idRoleRef="ID_962" idContextRef="ID_982"/>
    </VersionId>
</ProductConfiguration>

<DocumentAssignment uid="ID_91_da">
    <AssignedDocument uidRef="ID_dict"/>
    <Role>
        <ClassString>mandatory</ClassString>
    </Role>
</DocumentAssignment>

<Classification uid="nested_pc">
    <Class>
        <ClassString>dictionary</ClassString>
    </Class>
</Classification>

<File uid="ID_dict" xsi:type="bom:DigitalFile">
    <FileContent uid="ID_90_cp" xsi:type="bom:ContentProperty">
        <GeometryTypes>
            <Classification uidRef="nested_pc"/>
        </GeometryTypes>
    </FileContent>
    <FileFormat uidRef="nested_ff"/>
    <FileType>
        <ClassString>structured product data</ClassString>
    </FileType>
    <Id>
        <Identifier uid="ID_dict_id" id="120232-VDA-A.1.stpx" idRoleRef="ID_962" idContextRef="ID_965"/>
    </Id>
    <Locations>
        <ExternalItem uid="ID_dict_ei">
            <Id id="120232-VDA-A.1.stpx"/>
            <Source>
                </IdentifierString/>
            </Source>
        </ExternalItem>
    </Locations>
</File>

Figure 52: External reference to a ProductClass within a nested XML File containing EffectivityAssignments
The Instance Model: STEP exchange file format (ISO10303 AP242 Domain Model XML syntax)

```xml
<Part uid="ID_208">
  ...
  <Versions>
    <PartVersion uid="ID_129">
      ...
      <Views>
        <PartView uid="ID_130" xsi:type="bom:AssemblyDefinition">
          ...
          <ViewOccurrenceRelationship uid="ID_250" xsi:type="bom:NextAssemblyOccurrenceUsage">
            <Related uidRef="ID_252"/>
            <RelationType>
              <ClassString>next assembly occurrence</ClassString>
            </RelationType>
            <EffectivityAssignment uid="EA__603591">
              <AssignedEffectivity uidRef="CC__603588"/>
              <EffectivityIndication>true</EffectivityIndication>
              <Role>
                <ClassString>actual</ClassString>
              </Role>
              <EffectivityAssignment/>
            </EffectivityAssignment>
          </ViewOccurrenceRelationship>
          ...
        </PartView>
        <Views>
          ...
        </Views>
      </Versions>
    </PartVersion>
  </Views>
  ...
</Part>

<Effectivity uid="DVE__603585" xsi:type="bom:DatedEffectivity">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <EndDefinition>
    <DateTimeString>2017-12-31T23:59:59.0Z</DateTimeString>
  </EndDefinition>
</Effectivity>

<Effectivity uid="CC__603588" xsi:type="bom:ConditionalConfiguration">
  <EffectivityContext uidRef="pc--19088"/>
  <Condition uidRef="AC__603586"/>
  <ConfigurationType>
    <ClassString>usage</ClassString>
  </ConfigurationType>
  <InheritanceType>
    <ClassString>local</ClassString>
  </InheritanceType>
</Effectivity>

<SpecificationCategory uid="SC__603581">
  <Id>
    <Identifier id="FreerideMTB" idContextRef="ID_64" idRoleRef="ID_66" uid="SC__603581--id"/>
  </Id>
  <ImplicitExclusiveCondition>true</ImplicitExclusiveCondition>
  <Specification uid="S__603583">
    <Id>
      <Identifier id="FreerideMTB_Black" idContextRef="ID_64" idRoleRef="ID_66" uid="S__603583--id"/>
    </Id>
  </Specification>
</SpecificationCategory>
```
<Id>
  <Identifier id="FreerideMTB_Red" idContextRef="ID_64" idRoleRef="ID_66" uid="S__603592--id"/>
</Id>
</Specification>
The referenced XML file containing the ProductClass shall contain its full definition and the full definition of all available Specifications and SpecificationCategories (attached to the ProductClass via SpecificationAssignment and SpecificationCategoryAssignment), but no Effectivities, no SpecificationConditionAssignments and no Conditions, except the ones needed to define the ProductConfigurations.

Since the uids are only unique within one stpx file, the uids used in the referenced ProductClass XML file are independent from the uids used in the assembly node nested file.
Limitations of the current recommendation:

- Effectivities and Conditions shall be repeated in the XML files and not treated as referenced objects.
- Events (used for Milestone Effectivities) shall be repeated in the XML files and not treated as referenced object.
7 Supported Configuration Management for PDM Systems

This chapter contains an overview of the various aspects of configuration management supported by each PLM System for the matching constraints to support the use cases of this document with AP242 standard. Not all capabilities of the PDM systems are mentioned here.

Since Aras currently does not support configuration management (announced for future release), the topics depicted below for Aras consider the productive data model extension of T-Systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>STEP</th>
<th>Teamcenter</th>
<th>Windchill</th>
<th>Enovia VPM</th>
<th>3DExperience</th>
<th>Aras (with T-Syst extensions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effectivities at PartVersions</td>
<td>PartVersion.EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectivities at Occurrences</td>
<td>NextAssembly Occurrence Usage.EffectivityAssignment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>DatedEffectivity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time interval</td>
<td>TimeInterval.Effectivity</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial number Range</td>
<td>SerialEffectivity</td>
<td>X (so-called Unit Effectivity)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple intervals (combined implicitly with ‘OR’)</td>
<td>Several EffectivityAssignments</td>
<td>X (for Serial)</td>
<td>X (For Date, Lot and Serial)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specification</td>
<td>Specification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specification Category</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tributes/ver-sioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ConditionalEffectivity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical operators</td>
<td>Equals, Or,</td>
<td>AND, OR,</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>AND, OR, NOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And, Not,</td>
<td>NOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NotEquals,</td>
<td>one of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical combination of dif-</td>
<td>With the</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>X?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ferent effectiv-</td>
<td>same type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ties</td>
<td>it's implicitly OR operand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With differ-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ent types</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>it's an AND</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>operand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deep logical combination , i.e. opt1 or (dateEff and serialEff), is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effectivity context</strong></td>
<td>X (optional)</td>
<td>Required for Serial</td>
<td>Optional for Date Required for ConditionalEffectivity (through the context of the Specification(Categories))</td>
<td>Required for Serial</td>
<td>Optional for Date</td>
<td>Required for ConditionalEffectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effectivity Context as EndItem</strong></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>The assembly structure link that has a conditional effectivity has to be related up or down to the EndItem where the Specification(Categories) are defined</td>
<td>The assembly structure link that has a conditional effectivity has to be related up or down to the EndItem where the Specification(Categories) are defined</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of EffectivityContext</strong></td>
<td>ProductClass or ProductConfiguration</td>
<td>End Item (the ProductClass is managed as an Item) if the EndItem is a part, it has to be mapped in AP242 twice (as Part and as ProductClass)</td>
<td>End Item (the ProductClass is managed as an Item)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ProductClass attributes/versioning</strong></td>
<td>ProductClass</td>
<td>EndItem</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open intervals</td>
<td>SerialEffectivity (right-hand) DateEffectivity (right-hand and left-hand)</td>
<td>Right-hand; UP (unlimited)/SO (stock is out)</td>
<td>left-hand</td>
<td>right-hand</td>
<td>Both supported (right-hand and left-hand)</td>
<td>Both supported (right-hand and left-hand)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectivity sharing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Not shared</td>
<td>Not shared</td>
<td>Not shared</td>
<td>Not shared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ProductConfiguration definition</td>
<td>0-n ProductConfiguration.DefiningSpecifications 0-n+.EffectivityAssignments to Serial/Date/ Milestone/Lot/TimeInterval Effectivities (possibly with intervals)</td>
<td>0-n Specifications + 0-1 Effective Date + 0-1 Effective Unit Number with EndItem</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0-n Specifications + 0-1 Effective Date(interval) + 0-1 Effective Unit Number(interval) + 0-1 Effective Lot + 0-1 Effective Milestone(interval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explicit link of a ProductConfiguration to 1-</td>
<td>ProductDesignAssociation</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n assembly top nodes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explicit link of a ProductConfiguration to the assembly links that are valid for the given configuration</td>
<td>ConfiguredAssemblyEffectivity</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 28: Supported Configuration Management approaches for PDM Systems
## Annex A References

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EIA-649-A</td>
<td>National Consenes Standard for Configuration Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMII</td>
<td>Configuration Management II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 10303:4442</td>
<td>Industrial automation systems and integration — Product data representation and exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Part 4442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Domain model: Managed model based 3d engineering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Annex B Known Issues

This section lists known issues with the AP242 Domain Model, both related to the assembly structure and to other domains within the Domain Model. These issues concern errors in the XSD, mismatches between the EXPRESS and XML schemas, deficiencies in the documentation and other issues that have already been communicated to the AP242 maintenance / development team for resolution. Many issues have already been resolved by the Technical Corrigendum 1 (TC1) of AP242, which was published in 2016, by the Ed.2 (2019) and by the Ed.3 (2022). The issues listed below are on the list to be resolved with the fourth Edition of AP242. Development of that started in 2022.

Since 2022, the Bugzilla list hosted by PDES Inc. has been transferred to ISO JIRA with new issue numbers. The old Bugzilla issue numbers are still mentioned in the short description where applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISO Jira Issue</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Target Milestone</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCSC410303-660</td>
<td>Add PropertyValueAssignment to ExchangeContext</td>
<td>CR1_AP242_ED4</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCSC410303-838</td>
<td>move configurationType and inheritancetype from ConditionalConfiguration to Effectivity (Bugzilla #9072)</td>
<td>CR1_AP242_ED4</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCSC410303-839</td>
<td>Add Serial/Lot/Dated/TimeIntervalEffectivities to the definition of a ProductConfiguration (Bugzilla #8519)</td>
<td>CR1_AP242_ED4</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCSC410303-1306</td>
<td>Add missing Relationship to entities having attribute VersionId (Bugzilla #8518)</td>
<td>CR1_AP242_ED4</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>